



Year: 2012

Patient-reported physical activity questionnaires: a systematic review of content and format

Williams, Kate ; Frei, Anja ; Vetsch, Anders ; Dobbels, Fabienne ; Puhan, Milo A ; Rüdell, Katja

Abstract: **BACKGROUND:** Many patients with chronic illness are limited in their physical activities. This systematic review evaluates the content and format of patient-reported outcome (PRO) questionnaires that measure physical activity in elderly and chronically ill populations. **METHODS:** Questionnaires were identified by a systematic literature search of electronic databases (Medline, Embase, PsychINFO CINAHL), hand searches (reference sections and PROQOLID database) and expert input. A qualitative analysis was conducted to assess the content and format of the questionnaires and a Venn diagram was produced to illustrate this. Each stage of the review process was conducted by at least two independent reviewers. **RESULTS:** 104 questionnaires fulfilled our criteria. From these, 182 physical activity domains and 1965 items were extracted. Initial qualitative analysis of the domains found 11 categories. Further synthesis of the domains found 4 broad categories: 'physical activity related to general activities and mobility', 'physical activity related to activities of daily living', 'physical activity related to work, social or leisure time activities', and '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity'. The Venn diagram showed that no questionnaires covered all 4 categories and that the '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity' category was often not combined with the other categories. **CONCLUSIONS:** A large number of questionnaires with a broad range of physical activity content were identified. Although the content could be broadly organised, there was no consensus on the content and format of physical activity PRO questionnaires in elderly and chronically ill populations. Nevertheless, this systematic review will help investigators to select a physical activity PRO questionnaire that best serves their research question and context.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-10-28>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-74085>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 2.0 Generic (CC BY 2.0) License.

Originally published at:

Williams, Kate; Frei, Anja; Vetsch, Anders; Dobbels, Fabienne; Puhan, Milo A; Rüdell, Katja (2012). Patient-reported physical activity questionnaires: a systematic review of content and format. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 10:28.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-10-28>

RESEARCH

Open Access

Patient-reported physical activity questionnaires: A systematic review of content and format

Kate Williams¹, Anja Frei^{2,3*}, Anders Vetsch^{2,3}, Fabienne Dobbels⁴, Milo A Puhan^{2,5} and Katja Rüdell¹

Abstract

Background: Many patients with chronic illness are limited in their physical activities. This systematic review evaluates the content and format of patient-reported outcome (PRO) questionnaires that measure physical activity in elderly and chronically ill populations.

Methods: Questionnaires were identified by a systematic literature search of electronic databases (Medline, Embase, PsychINFO & CINAHL), hand searches (reference sections and PROQOLID database) and expert input. A qualitative analysis was conducted to assess the content and format of the questionnaires and a Venn diagram was produced to illustrate this. Each stage of the review process was conducted by at least two independent reviewers.

Results: 104 questionnaires fulfilled our criteria. From these, 182 physical activity domains and 1965 items were extracted. Initial qualitative analysis of the domains found 11 categories. Further synthesis of the domains found 4 broad categories: 'physical activity related to general activities and mobility', 'physical activity related to activities of daily living', 'physical activity related to work, social or leisure time activities', and '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity'. The Venn diagram showed that no questionnaires covered all 4 categories and that the '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity' category was often not combined with the other categories.

Conclusions: A large number of questionnaires with a broad range of physical activity content were identified. Although the content could be broadly organised, there was no consensus on the content and format of physical activity PRO questionnaires in elderly and chronically ill populations. Nevertheless, this systematic review will help investigators to select a physical activity PRO questionnaire that best serves their research question and context.

Keywords: Physical activity, Chronic illness, Patient-reported outcome questionnaires, Systematic review

Background

Many patients with chronic diseases experience physical activity limitations or suffer symptoms during physical activities. This is concerning given the wealth of evidence demonstrating the importance of a physically active lifestyle in the prevention and management of many chronic diseases [1,2]. Physical activity has been defined as 'any bodily movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscle that increases energy expenditure above a basal level' [3]. It is useful as an outcome measurement as it enables researchers to effectively

evaluate public health interventions to increase physical activity levels. It is also currently being explored as an endpoint for evaluating the efficacy of pharmaceutical interventions in clinical trials. This could help inform patients about treatment options that may improve their daily life.

When deciding to assess physical activity as an outcome measure, researchers face the challenge of selecting from a myriad of objective and subjective assessments. For subjective assessments, a large number of patient-reported outcome (PRO) questionnaires are available to choose from. PRO questionnaires are self-report measures of a patient's health status or behaviour that comes directly from the patient without interpretation from anyone else. Such questionnaires have the potential to

* Correspondence: anja.frei@usz.ch

²Horten Centre for Patient-oriented Research, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

capture patient-relevant lifestyle physical activities and related limitations that may not be identified by more objective assessments. For this reason, it is important that the content of physical activity PRO questionnaires is relevant to the patient in order to make appropriate, patient centred treatment choices [4,5]. In addition, the format of the questionnaire should be such that the questions and answer options can be easily interpreted and completed by the patient.

Although there have been several reviews of physical activity PRO questionnaires in recent years (e.g. [6]), the majority of these have focused on the development and validation processes. To our knowledge, no review to date has specifically focused in depth on content and format such as looking at themes and patterns across questionnaires.

This review is part of the European Union funded PROactive project [7] which aims to develop and validate a PRO tool to investigate dimensions of physical activity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. The initial aim of this review was therefore to identify existing physical activity PRO questionnaires which are appropriate for use in a COPD population. Although we were primarily interested in questionnaires developed for COPD patients, we were also interested in learning from questionnaires developed for elderly populations or patients with other chronic diseases that may result in physical activity limitations. The second aim was to systematically evaluate these questionnaires with the aim of establishing if there is a consensus on their optimal content and format (the development and psychometric properties are explored in a separate paper [8]). These results may help researchers to select the most appropriate physical activity PRO questionnaires available to date, and will identify research gaps.

Methods

A study protocol (unregistered) guided the entire review process. We followed standard systematic review methodology as outlined in the handbooks of the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination [9] and the Cochrane Collaboration. The reporting follows the PRISMA statement guidelines for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses [10].

Eligibility criteria

Population

As this systematic review is part of the PROactive project [7], we were interested in identifying physical activity PRO questionnaires that are appropriate for use in a COPD population. We therefore supplemented the electronic database search with explicit search terms for COPD patients. However, we were also interested in

learning from the content and format of questionnaires developed for other disease populations which may experience similar physical activity limitations to COPD patients. We therefore expanded our search to include PRO questionnaires developed for patients with all chronic illnesses and elderly populations.

Style of questionnaire

We included fully structured questionnaires or scales with standardised questions and answer options which were patient (self) reported. Interviewer administered questionnaires were included only if the information was self-reported. Questionnaires that required a rating by an interviewer were excluded.

Assessment of physical activity

We included questionnaires containing at least one physical activity subscale/domain. We used this benchmark as the number of questionnaires containing only one or two physical activity items was too large to include in this review. The PROactive consortium agreed to use the following definition for physical activity by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [3]: 'any bodily movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscle that increases energy expenditure above a basal level'. In addition to questionnaires measuring the frequency, intensity and total amount of physical activity, we also considered questionnaires assessing 'related constructs' such as symptoms (physical and mental) or limitations associated with physical activity. We only included questionnaires if the items were available from the publication or developers. We did not have any language or publication date restrictions.

Study design

We included cross-sectional and longitudinal studies that described the development or modifications of the original questionnaire and/or the initial validation of the original questionnaire. We excluded studies that were not designed to initially validate a questionnaire, for example, those that reported linguistic validation or used a questionnaire as an outcome measure in a clinical trial or observational study.

Information sources

Electronic database searches

We searched the electronic databases Medline, Embase, PsycINFO and CINAHL on September 18th 2009.

Hand searches

In addition to the electronic database search, we did the following hand searches: we searched for original development studies of questionnaires from articles which were excluded for the reason 'validation only' or 'used as outcome measures'; we scanned the reference lists of the full texts; we searched for 'physical functioning' questionnaires in the Patient-Reported Outcome and Quality of Life Questionnaires Database (PROQOLID)

on March 10 2010; and we contacted experts in the field (the PROactive research consortium and associated expert panel) to check that our list was complete.

Search

We searched the electronic databases using the following search terms: (physical activity OR functioning OR function OR motor activity OR activities of daily living OR walking OR activity OR exercise) AND (questionnaire* OR scale OR tool OR diary OR assessment OR self-report OR measure*) AND (valid*) AND (chronic disease OR elderly OR COPD OR chronic lung disease OR chronic obstructive lung disease) NOT (athletic performance OR sports OR children OR adolescent).

Study selection

The study selection process was piloted by at least two independent reviewers at the start of the review. All titles and abstracts were screened and the decision to include or exclude was recorded (0 = exclude, 1 = order for full text assessment, 2 = only validation study of existing questionnaire, 3 = related study (e.g. reviews), do not order but may be useful reference). All articles that were deemed potentially eligible by at least one reviewer proceeded to full text review. The full texts were then scored against the predefined selection criteria and the decision to include or exclude was again recorded. If there was a discrepancy between two reviewers, a third reviewer was consulted. If the article contained insufficient information then we made three attempts to contact the authors and recorded the outcome. In cases where multiple papers were published (e.g. translations, reporting on different outcomes etc.), we treated the multiple reports as a single study but made reference to all publications.

Data extraction process

We created standardised data extraction forms to record the relevant information from the articles. The data extraction forms were piloted twice by four reviewers. The forms and categories were then adapted and refined where necessary. The first reviewers extracted the data and stored it in a MS Word file. The second reviewers then independently extracted the data and compared their results with that of the first reviewers. Discrepancies were resolved by consulting a third independent reviewer.

Data extraction

We extracted data on the questionnaires' content and format. The format categories were: population (elderly or type of chronic disease), answer options (e.g. 5-point Likert scale, categorical scales), anchors (e.g. 0 = not limited at all to 6 = totally limited), scoring (e.g.

total score or average), direction of scale (uni- or bi-directional), recall period (e.g. past 24 hours or past week), administration (self or interviewer administered), quantification (whether questionnaires quantified the amount of physical activity [e.g. number of hours spent] or not), and type of questionnaire (quick overview of the method of assessment [e.g. ability, frequency], the content of assessment [e.g. breathlessness] and the population). The content categories were: a general description of the questionnaire (physical activity only or general questionnaire with physical activity subscales), number of items, number of domains, and labelling of domains.


Content analysis

Content analysis of the domain labels was conducted to synthesise the data. The domains were independently grouped into broad categories by two reviewers and their level of agreement was calculated using Cohen's Kappa coefficient. Mismatches were then resolved and a third reviewer was consulted where necessary. Once the categorisation of all the domains had been agreed, the frequency of domains per category was calculated. Following the categorisation of domains into broad categories, a second content analysis was conducted to further synthesise the content of the questionnaires. This was again done by two independent reviewers and a third reviewer was consulted where necessary. A Venn diagram was then produced to give a visual representation of the content of the questionnaires. A brief content analysis was also conducted for the populations for which the questionnaires were developed (focusing on COPD and related respiratory diseases) and the answer options used.

Results

Study selection

Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of the study identification process. The electronic database search produced 2542 references. After title and abstract screening, 2268 of these were excluded resulting in 274 for full text assessment. This included 5 Japanese and 1 Chinese article which were provisionally included due to their English abstract but were not included in the current analysis as we were unable to translate them [11-15]. Hand searches of reference sections and of excluded articles revealed an additional 70 questionnaires/development studies for full text assessment. The search of the PROQOLID database produced a further 58 questionnaires, 19 of which were included for full text assessment after title and abstract screening. One additional questionnaire was retrieved from the consultation with experts. Therefore, a total of 364 papers were included for full text assessment.



Following full text assessment, a further 255 articles were excluded resulting in 104 questionnaires from 103 full texts included in the review [16-119] (one article [65] provided information for the development process of two questionnaires). The most frequent reasons for exclusion were: the questionnaire is not self-reported ($n = 71$), the questionnaire does not measure physical activity (defined as above [3]) ($n = 66$), the article was a validation study only (other than the original validation) ($n = 35$) and the article used the questionnaire as an outcome measure only (did not describe the development or initial validation) ($n = 29$). The references of all articles excluded after full text assessment are summarised in Additional file 1.

Content of questionnaires

Additional file 2 summarises the extracted data on the content and format of the reviewed questionnaires.

Fifty nine (56.7%) questionnaires focused on physical activity only. Forty three (41.3%) did not focus on physical activity but contained at least one physical activity subscale. Two (1.9%) questionnaires [78,118] were not described in the publication and the questionnaires were not available from the authors.

A total of 1965 items (a further 5 questionnaires did not report the number of items) relating to physical activity were extracted. The items were not checked for duplicates due to their large number; however, it is unlikely that the items with exactly the same wording would have appeared multiple times. The number of physical activity items per questionnaire ranged from 3 to 123.

After the removal of 56 duplicate domains, a total of 182 physical activity domains (a further 2 articles did not report their domains) were extracted. The number of physical activity domains per questionnaire ranged from 1 to 12. The domains that appeared multiple times

Table 1 Physical activity domains (as described by the authors) that appeared multiple times

Physical activity domain	N	Physical activity domain	N
Activities of daily living/ADL*	10	Falls efficacy	2
Mobility	8	Ambulation	2
Leisure activities	6	Domestic tasks	2
Physical activity(ies)	8	Domestic chores	2
Physical function(ing)	7	Family role	2
Self-care	4	Social functioning	2
Activity	3	Care taking	2
Exercise	3	Work	2
Household activities	3	Disability	2
Instrumental activities of daily living/IADL**	2		

*ADL = Activities of daily living

**IADL = Instrumental activities of daily living

are shown in Table 1. All other domains appeared only once.

The initial thematic analysis of the 182 physical activity domains found 11 broad categories, plus an additional 'other' category (defined in Table 2). The inter-rater reliability of the initial independent coding of the 182 domains was high with a Cohen's Kappa of 0.87 ($p < 0.001$) and 88.5% total accordance. After agreement for mismatches, the number of the domains per content theme were: physical activity related mobility ($n = 34$), household physical activity ($n = 21$), generic physical activity ($n = 20$), social physical activity ($n = 18$),

physical activity relating to self ($n = 17$), dyspnoea & symptom related physical activity ($n = 12$), leisure physical activity ($n = 9$), work physical activity ($n = 9$), exercise physical activity ($n = 10$), physical activity limitations ($n = 8$), activities of daily living (ADL) ($n = 7$) and other ($n = 17$). The full list of domains and their 11 categories are shown in Additional file 2.

The second content analysis resulted in 4 categories plus an additional 'other' category (defined in Table 3). The Venn diagram in Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of the questionnaires across these 4 categories. This shows that 59 questionnaires contained the domain 'physical activity related to general activities and mobility', 39 the domain 'physical activity related to activities of daily living', 32 the domain 'physical activity related to work, social or leisure time activities', and 18 the domain '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity'. The Venn diagram also shows that none of the questionnaires contained domains from all 4 of the categories. Further, questionnaires containing '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity' domains did not often contain domains from the other 3 categories as well.

Format of questionnaires

The questionnaires were developed for patients with a range of chronic diseases and elderly populations. These populations were grouped into the 5 categories 'Elderly', 'COPD patients', 'Patients with other chronic respiratory diseases', 'Patients with unspecified chronic disease or disability', and 'Patients with other specified chronic diseases' (Table 4).

Table 2 Eleven categories identified from the initial content analysis of the physical activity domains

Category	Definition
Generic physical activity	Domains that relate to physical activity/functioning in general that do not specify a particular type of physical activity.
Activities of daily living (ADL)	Domains referring specifically to activities of daily living or instrumental activities of daily living.
Dyspnoea and symptom related physical activity	Domains that refer to dyspnoea and/or other symptoms which may occur as a result of physical activity.
Exercise physical activity	Domains referring to exercise or other activities that are more vigorous than usual everyday activities.
Physical activity relating to self	Domains referring to a person's ability to look after themselves. Also includes domains about their belief that they can look after themselves and other self beliefs.
Physical activity related mobility	Domains referring to body movement or a person's ability to move around both inside and outside their home.
Leisure physical activity	Domains referring to leisure or recreational activities. These are not necessarily activities that are done socially but include activities that can be done alone.
Household physical activity	Includes all domains referring to activities within the home and/or garden.
Social physical activity	Domains referring to social activities including those involving friends, family, community and intimate relationships.
Work physical activity	Domains referring to paid or unpaid work or education.
Physical activity limitations	Domains referring to physical activity limitations or disability (likely to be due to a physical condition such as COPD).
Other	Any other domains which do not fit into the other categories.

Table 3 Four categories identified from the second content analysis of the physical activity domains

Categories	Definition
Physical activity related to general activities and mobility	Domains that relate to physical activity and functioning in general and a person's ability to move around that do not specify a specific type of physical activity. This category also includes physical exercise or other general activities that are more vigorous than usual everyday activities.
Physical activity related to activities of daily living	Domains referring specifically to activities of daily living (ADL, such as eating, toileting, bathing, dressing) or to instrumental activities of daily living (IADL, such as shopping, use of transportation, housekeeping, food preparation).
Physical activity related to work, social or leisure time activities	Domains referring to social activities, to paid or unpaid work or education and to leisure or recreational activities.
(Disease-specific) symptom related to physical activity	Domains that refer to dyspnoea and/or other symptoms which may occur as a result of physical activity and domains referring to physical activity limitations, disability or difficulties an individual may have in executing activities.
Other	Any other domains which do not fit into the other categories.

Analysis of the 1965 items revealed 12 different types of answer option (Table 5) and 209 different anchors (duplicate anchors removed). The full list can be seen in Additional file 2. Of the 209 different anchors, the most frequent was the categorical yes/no scale which was used for 265 items overall.

Sixty eight (65.4%) questionnaires were scored by calculating the sum of the items to domains scores and total scores, 10 (9.6%) by calculating a mean score of completed items, 5 (4.8%) using Guttman scaling and 6 (5.8%) using another method classified as 'other'. Fifteen (14.4%) questionnaires did not report the method of scoring used.

Seventy three (70.2%) questionnaires were uni-directional, meaning that the items were phrased in the same direction, either positively or negatively. Three questionnaires (2.9%) were bi-directional, 1 (1%) contained uni-directional and bi-directional items and 27 (26%) did

not report the scale direction or direction was not applicable (e.g. categorical scales).

Forty two different recall periods were identified and these were grouped thematically into 10 categories plus a 'not reported/unclear' category. Table 6 shows the categories along with the number of questionnaires to which they apply.

Fifty eight (55.8%) questionnaires were self-administered, 25 (24%) were interviewer-administered and 16 (15.4%) were either self- or interviewer-administered. Five (4.8%) questionnaires did not report their administration format.

Nine of the questionnaires quantified the amount of physical activity engaged in (e.g. total time, duration), whereas the other 95 did not. These questionnaires can be seen in row 3 of Table 7.

We identified 8 types of questionnaire based on their method of assessing physical activity (e.g. ability) and




Figure 2 Venn diagram showing overlapping categories of domains. The Venn diagram shows how the four categories of domains overlap with each other and the percentage of questionnaires that include domains in each category

Table 4 Categorisation of the populations for which the included questionnaires were developed (n = 104)

Population	N	%
Elderly	32	30.8%
COPD patients	15	14.4%
Patients with other chronic respiratory diseases (Chronic respiratory failure, (unspecified) chronic lung disease, chronic airflow limitation, asthma, (unspecified) pulmonary impairment, patients receiving home mechanical ventilation, and various underlying diseases)	12	11.5%
Patients with unspecified chronic disease or disability	15	14.4%
Patients with other specified chronic diseases (Chronic pain, multiple sclerosis, stroke, heart failure, coronary heart disease, peripheral arterial disease, chronic liver disease, minimal hepatic encephalopathy, cancer, back pain, chronic fatigue syndrome, immune thrombocytopenic purpura, chronic urticaria, women with fibromyalgia, ankylosing spondylitis, chronic disabling musculoskeletal disorders, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, (unspecified) rheumatoid diseases, cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis)	30	28.8%

the content of this assessment (e.g. limitations). These types, along with the frequency of questionnaire for each type and the reference numbers of the questionnaires for each type are shown in Table 7.

Discussion

This systematic review found many PRO questionnaires for assessing physical activity. Most questionnaires focused on physical activity alone (see definition [3]) but there were also multiple questionnaires containing physical activity domains or subscales. Most questionnaires were developed for patients with chronic diseases, although the single largest group was elderly. The format of the questionnaires including the answer options, anchors and recall periods varied considerably. The most common answer option was the yes/no scale. Most questionnaires had no recall period, were uni-directional, self-administered and scored by calculating the sum of the domain or total scores.

Table 5 Answer options and the frequency of their occurrence

Answer options	Number of different types
3-point scales	40
5-point Likert scales	40
Categorical scales (defined categories to select e.g. yes/no)	37
4-point scales	36
Frequency/duration (e.g. number of times per week or number of hours spent)	14
7-point Likert type scales	11
Visual analogue scale (VAS)	11
6-point Likert type scales	8
11-point Likert type scales	6
10-point Likert type scales	3
Free report	2
Diary	1

Multiple domains and items were extracted and although the domains were grouped broadly into 11 categories, the content varied considerably. Further synthesis into 4 categories and the Venn diagram revealed that no questionnaires contained domains from all 4 categories. This was surprising as we expected to see increased overlap due to the large number of domains and the small number of categories. However, we acknowledge that the questionnaires were developed for a range of populations and limitations experienced by some groups may not be universal. The Venn diagram also showed that '(disease-specific) symptoms related to physical activity' were included by the fewest questionnaires and infrequently overlapped with the other categories. This shows that symptoms and limitations related to physical activity are not prominent in the currently available PRO questionnaires. This is concerning as qualitative research has shown that patients with certain chronic conditions (e.g. asthma) consider symptoms in association with physical activity to be very relevant [120]. This inconsistency may be due to inadequate patient input in the development of these questionnaires as was found in the first part of this review [8]. However, we acknowledge that symptoms are not a relevant aspect of all chronic conditions (e.g. hypertension).

Overall the results show that there is no consensus on what should be included in the content and format of physical activity PRO questionnaires. This is in line with previous reviews which have found variation in the number of recall periods used [6] and inconsistencies in the development and validation methods questionnaires [6,8]. The lack of consensus may also arise from the scarcity of conceptual frameworks for physical activity, which was documented recently [121]. This highlights a need for further research into physical activity and its potential use as an outcome measure to evaluate treatment benefit. In addition, the results show that many physical activity questionnaires lack important concepts, particularly those relating to symptoms and limitations

Table 6 Categorisation of recall periods and the frequency of their occurrence

Recall period	N	%
No recall period	31	29.8%
Present/today	6	5.8%
Yesterday/past few days	4	3.8%
Past week	15	14.4%
Past 2 weeks	8	7.7%
Past month	10	9.6%
Past 3 months	1	1%
Past year	1	1%
Multiple different recall periods	5	4.8%
General	1	1%
Not reported/unclear	22	21.2%

with physical activity. This poses a problem to researchers when deciding which physical activity PRO questionnaire to choose for their purpose as no questionnaire measures all aspects of physical activity. Although this highlights a need for patient input in the development of future physical activity questionnaires, it is also important to acknowledge that physical activity is a multidimensional construct. It is therefore challenging to create a single questionnaire which encompasses all aspects.

Nevertheless, both this review and our previous systematic review [8] provide a broad overview of physical activity questionnaires and can be used to guide researchers in their selection a questionnaire. For example, a questionnaire may be needed to assess physical activity as an outcome in a pulmonary rehabilitation

intervention study of COPD patients (example 1). As another example, investigators may need a questionnaire to assess the association between physical activity and mortality in a prospective cohort study of elderly people (example 2). In situations like these, Additional file 2 will be a useful tool for researchers as it summarises the content and format of the large variety of available questionnaires.

To evaluate pulmonary rehabilitation (as in example 1), a suitable questionnaire may be one that was specifically developed for COPD patients (see 'Population' in Additional file 2) and that assesses domains that a pulmonary rehabilitation program aims to improve (e.g. the patients' ability to perform activities of daily living, see 'Questionnaire type'). Even more specifically, investigators could choose between different types of activities of daily living or household physical activities (see 'Category' and 'Labelling of domains'). Since a study on pulmonary rehabilitation is typically designed to detect a change over time, a unidirectional Likert type scale would be reasonable, encompassing at least 5 points, with corresponding anchors (see 'Direction of scale', 'Answer options' and 'Anchors') resulting in different domain and total scores (see 'Scoring'). Depending on the number of other assessments they may be using, investigators may also want to consider the time to complete ('Number of items') and the recall period ('Recall period') in order to minimise information bias. Based on these considerations, the London Chest Activity of Daily Living Scale [49] or the Activity of Daily Living Dyspnoea scale [115] would be reasonable choices.

If physical activity is measured as a determinant of mortality (as in example 2), the amount of physical

Table 7 Frequency of each 'type' of questionnaire

Method and content of assessment	Frequency	Reference number(s)
Ability/capacity to perform physical activities*	25	[21,25-30,37,41,42,45,47,48,53,54,70,72,82,86,89,91,97,99,100,113,114]
Frequency/categorised amount of time performing physical activities (no quantification of physical activities)	13	[19,32,40,56,61,67,65]a** [87,93,103,108,109,116]
Quantification of physical activities: Total time/duration/diary	9	[30,36,44,46,74,65]b** [102,110,119]
Degree/level/frequency of limitations/symptoms/difficulty in performing physical activities	35	[20,23,33,38,39,49,50,55,58,60,64,67-69,73,75-77,79-81, 84,85,87,90,94-96,99-101,105,107,111,115,117]
Impact of symptoms/disease/functional impairment on physical activities	7	[16,17,22,52,57,66,106]
Self-efficacy/confidence in performing physical activities	7	[18,24,35,80,92,104,112]
Degree of dependence/independence	5	[43,59,63,88,98]
Graduation of needed help/amount of assistance needed in performing physical activities	2	[51,62]
Excluded from categorisation because we did not have access to the full original questionnaires and therefore had too little information to categorise them.		[31,34,71,78,83,118]

*Physical activity includes all kind of activities

**[65]a = LTPAI, [65]b = PAHWI (see reference)

X allocated to more than one questionnaire type

activity ('Quantification', 'Questionnaire type') is likely to be of importance (e.g. [122]) and could be expressed by the frequency and time spent for performing certain activities ('Category', 'Labelling of domains'). A single number representing the amount of physical activity ('Scoring') would be attractive from a statistical and interpretative perspective. Also, as the researcher may be assessing other determinants of mortality, the length of the questionnaire should be considered to avoid patient burden ('Number of items'). An appropriate questionnaire for this example would be the YALE Physical Activity Survey [36].

During the selection process, the measurement properties also need to be considered once potential questionnaires have been identified based on content and format requirements. For an overview of the development and initial validation data of the questionnaires, readers are referred to Additional file 2 in our previous publication [8].

One of the strengths of this review is that we adhered to a rigorous systematic review methodology throughout the process. We used carefully developed inclusion and exclusion criteria and each step was conducted by at least two independent reviewers from at least two independent institutions to ensure that the most appropriate physical activity questionnaires were included. We kept our search strategy deliberately broad to avoid missing any potentially relevant questionnaires, resulting in what is likely to be the most comprehensive systematic review of physical activity questionnaires to date. We did this by using the definition for physical activity as described in the 2008 physical activity guidelines for Americans [3] as a guide. In addition to public database searches we added a thorough hand search of reference sections and the PROQOLID database, resulting in an extensive domain and item pool of physical activity questionnaires.

A challenge of this review was dealing with situations where the decision to include or exclude a questionnaire was unclear. Although we followed carefully defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, some questionnaires assessed specific types of physical activity that were largely unique to the population for which they were developed. In such cases we attempted to make a judgement to include or exclude that was systematically and scientifically defensible. For example, if a questionnaire had been developed for multiple sclerosis patients, we excluded physical activity domains that assessed impaired hand motor activity, but included general domains such as 'walking ability' [55] or 'physical functioning' [95]. Furthermore, although we did not analyse the content of the individual items, they were all entered into an item pool which can be utilised during the later stages of the

PROactive project and will be made available to the public upon the conclusion of the project.

Conclusions

This review found a large number of PRO questionnaires are available for assessing physical activity in elderly and chronically ill populations. From these, 182 different physical activity domains were identified. Although the content could be broadly organised, there was little consensus on the content and format of physical activity PRO questionnaires in these populations. Nevertheless, this systematic review will help investigators to select a physical activity PRO questionnaire that best serves their research question and context.

Acknowledgements

The study was conducted within the PROactive project which is funded by the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (IMI JU) # 115011. The authors would also like to thank Laura Jacobs for her support in the early stages of the project as well as the PROactive group: Caterina Brindicci and Tim Higenbottam (Chiesi Farmaceutici S.A.), Thierry Trooster and Fabienne Dobbels (Katholieke Universiteit Leuven), Margaret X. Taberner (Glaxo Smith Kline), Roberto Rabinovitch and Bill McNee (University of Edinburgh, Old College South Bridge), Ioannis Vogiatzis (Thorax Research Foundation, Athens), Michael Polkey and Nick Hopkinson (Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust), Judith Garcia-Aymerich (Municipal Institute of Medical Research, Barcelona), Milo Puhon and Anja Frei (Universität of Zürich, Zürich), Thys van der Molen and Corina De Jong (University Medical Center, Groningen), Pim de Boer (Netherlands Asthma Foundation, Leusden), Ian Jarrod (British Lung Foundation, UK), Paul McBride (Choice Healthcare Solution, UK), Nadia Kamel (European Respiratory Society, Lausanne), Katja Rudell and Frederick J. Wilson (Pfizer Ltd), Nathalie Ivanoff (Almirall), Karoly Kulich and Alistair Glendenning (Novartis), Niklas X. Karlsson and Solange Corriol-Rohou (AstraZeneca AB), Enkeleida Nikai (UCB) and Damijen Erzen (Boehringer Ingelheim).

Additional material

Additional file 1: Reference list of excluded articles after full text assessment. List of all references of articles which were excluded after full text assessment [123-377].

Additional file 2: Data extraction results: content and format of the reviewed questionnaires. Summary of the extracted data on the content and format of the reviewed questionnaires. This covers the population, domains, categories, items, answer options, anchors, scoring, direction of scale, recall period, administration, questionnaire type and quantification.

Abbreviations

PRO: Patient-reported outcome; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living.

Author details

¹Patient Reported Outcomes Centre of Excellence, Global Market Access, Primary Care Business Unit, Pfizer Ltd, Walton Oaks, Surrey, UK. ²Horten Centre for Patient-oriented Research, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ³Institute of General Practice & Health Services Research, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁴Centre for Health Services & Nursing Research, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. ⁵Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA.

Authors' contributions

KR and MP led the systematic review. KR, MP, AF and FD developed the conceptual framework and the study protocol. KR, MP and AF coordinated the review. KR, MP, FD, and AF conducted the electronic database searches; KW, AV, AF, KR and MP conducted the additional searches. AF coordinated the references in RefWorks. KR and FD (1st reviewers), KW (2nd reviewer) and MP and AF (3rd reviewers) screened titles and abstracts. KW and KR (1st reviewers), AF and AV (2nd reviewers) and MP (3rd reviewer) assessed full texts of the identified studies. KW and AV (1st reviewers) and KR and AF (2nd reviewers) extracted the relevant data. KW conducted the statistical analysis. KW drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript and approved the final version.

Authors' information

KR is an honorary lecturer of health psychology at the University of Kent, UK. Fabienne Dobbels is a post-doctoral researcher funded by the FWO (Scientific Research Foundation Flanders).

Competing interests

Kate Williams was contracted by Pfizer Ltd when this review was conducted and Katja Rüdell is an employee of Pfizer Ltd. The current work is methodological and provides no competitive advantage or disadvantage. Anja Frei, Anders Vetsch, Fabienne Dobbels and Milo Puhan have no competing interests. No medical writers were used to support the writing of this manuscript.

Received: 24 October 2011 Accepted: 13 March 2012

Published: 13 March 2012

References

- Lagerros YT, Lagiou P: **Assessment of physical activity and energy expenditure in epidemiological research of chronic diseases.** *Eur J Epidemiol* 2007, **22**(6):353-362.
- Valanou EM, Bamia C, Trichopoulos A: **Methodology of physical-activity and energy-expenditure assessment: A review.** *J Public Health* 2006, **14**(2):58-65.
- 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. [http://www.health.gov/paguidelines/pdf/paguide.pdf].
- Bottomley A, Jones D, Claessens L: **Patient-reported outcomes: Assessment and current perspectives of the guidelines of the Food and Drug Administration and the reflection paper of the European Medicines Agency.** *Eur J Cancer* 2009, **45**(3):347-353.
- European Medicines Agency (EMA): **CHMP Reflection Paper on the Regulatory Guidance for the Use of Health Related Quality of life Measures in the Evaluation of Medicinal Products.** 2006 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/09/WC500003637.pdf].
- Forsen LLN, Vuillemin A, Chinapaw MJM, van Poppel MNM, Mokkink LB, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB: **Self-administered physical activity q.** *Sports Med* 2010, **40**:601-623.
- PROactive:[http://www.proactivecopd.com/].
- Frei A, Williams K, Vetsch A, Dobbels F, Jacobs L, Rudell K, Puhan MA: **A comprehensive systematic review of the development process of 104 patient-reported outcomes (PROs) for physical activity in chronically ill and elderly people.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2011, **9**(1):116.
- Systematic Reviews. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York; 2009.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG: **Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement.** *BMJ* 2009, **339**:332-336.
- ChongHua W, Li G, XiaoMei L: **Development of the General Module for the System of Quality of Life Instruments for Patients with Chronic Disease: Items selection and structure of the general module.** *Chinese Mental Health Journal* 2005, **19**(11):723-726.
- Eto F, Tanaka M, Chishima M, Igarashi M, Mizoguchi T, Wada H, Iijima S: **Comprehensive activities of daily living (ADL) index for the elderly.** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 1992, **29**(11):841-848.
- Hashimoto S, Aoki R, Tamakoshi A, Shibasaki S, Nagai M, Kawakami N, Ikari A, Ojima T, Ohno Y: **Development of index of social activities for the elderly.** *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Public Health* 1997, **44**(10):760-768.
- Horiuchi T, Kobayashi Y, Hosoi T, Ishibashi H, Yamamoto S, Yatomi N: **The assessment of the reliability and the validity of the EQOQL questionnaire of osteoporotics-QOL assessment of elderly osteoporotics by EQOQL.** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 2005, **42**(2):229-234.
- Inaba Y, Obuchi S, Oka K, Arai T, Nagasawa H, Shiba Y, Kojima M: **Development of a rating scale for self-efficacy of physical activity in frail elderly people.** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 2006, **43**(6):761-768.
- Alvarez-Gutierrez FJ, Miravittles M, Calle M, Gobartt E, Lopez F, Martin A, Grupo de Estudio EIME: **Impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on activities of daily living: results of the EIME multicenter study.** *Arch Bronconeumol* 2007, **43**(2):64-72.
- Anagnostis C, Gatchel RJ, Mayer TG: **The pain disability questionnaire: a new psychometrically sound measure for chronic musculoskeletal disorders.** *Spine* 2004, **29**(20):2290-2302.
- Anderson KO, Dowds BN, Pelletz RE, Edwards WT, Peeters-Asdourian C: **Development and initial validation of a scale to measure self-efficacy beliefs in patients with chronic pain.** *Pain* 1995, **63**(1):77-84.
- Arbuckle TY, Gold DP, Chaikelson JS, Lapidus S: **Measurement of activity in the elderly: The Activities Checklist.** *Can J Aging* 1994, **13**(4):550-565.
- Avlund K, Kreiner S, Schultz-Larsen K: **Functional ability scales for the elderly: a validation study.** *Eur J Public Health* 1996, **6**(1):35-42.
- Avlund K, Schultz-Larsen K, Kreiner S: **The measurement of instrumental ADL: content validity and construct validity.** *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1993, **5**(5):371-383.
- Baiardini I, Braidio F, Fassio O, Tarantini F, Pasquali M, Tarchino F, Berlendis A, Canonica GW: **A new tool to assess and monitor the burden of chronic cough on quality of life: Chronic Cough Impact Questionnaire.** *Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2005, **60**(4):482.
- Bergner MPD, Bobbitt RAPD, Carter WBPD, Gilson BSMD: **The Sickness Impact Profile: Development and Final Revision of a Health Status Measure.** *Medical care* 1981, **19**(8):787-805.
- Bula CJ, Martin E, Rochat S, Piot-Ziegler C: **Validation of an adapted falls efficacy scale in older rehabilitation patients.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008, **89**(2):291-296.
- Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM: **The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire: development and validation.** *J Rheumatol* 1991, **18**(5):728-733.
- Calin A, Garrett S, Whitelock H, Kennedy LG, O'Hea J, Mallorie P, Jenkinson T: **A new approach to defining functional ability in ankylosing spondylitis: the development of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index.** *J Rheumatol* 1994, **21**(12):2281-2285.
- Cardol M, de Haan RJ, de Jong BA, van den Bos GA, de Groot IJ: **Psychometric properties of the Impact on Participation and Autonomy Questionnaire.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001, **82**(2):210-216.
- Cardol M, de Haan RJ, van den Bos GA, de Jong BA, de Groot IJ: **The development of a handicap assessment questionnaire: the Impact on Participation and Autonomy (IPA).** *Clin Rehabil* 1999, **13**(5):411-419.
- Carone M, Bertolotti G, Anchisi F, Zotti AM, Donner CF, Jones PW: **Analysis of factors that characterize health impairment in patients with chronic respiratory failure Quality of Life in Chronic Respiratory Failure Group.** *Eur Respir J* 1999, **13**(6):1293-1300.
- Caspersen CJ, Bloemberg BPM, Saris WHM, Merritt RK, Kromhout D: **The Prevalence of Selected Physical Activities and Their Relation with**

- Coronary Heart Disease Risk Factors in Elderly Men: The Zutphen Study, 1985. *Am J Epidemiol* 1991, **133**(11):1078-1092.
31. Chou K: Hong Kong Chinese Everyday Competence Scale: a validation study. *Clin Gerontol* 2003, **26**(1):43-51.
 32. Clark DO, Callahan CM, Counsell SR: Reliability and validity of a steadiness score. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005, **53**(9):1582-1586.
 33. Clark MS, Bond MJ: The Adelaide Activities Profile: a measure of the life-style activities of elderly people. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1995, **7**(4):174-184.
 34. Dallosso HM, Morgan K, Bassey EJ, Ebrahim SB, Fentem PH, Arie TH: Levels of customary physical activity among the old and the very old living at home. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1988, **42**(2):121-127.
 35. Davis AH, Figueredo AJ, Fahy BF, Rawiworakul T: Reliability and validity of the Exercise Self-Regulatory Efficacy Scale for individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Heart Lung* 2007, **36**(3):205-216.
 36. Dipietro L, Caspersen CJ, Ostfeld AM, Nadel ER: A survey for assessing physical activity among older adults. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1993, **25**(5):628-642.
 37. Dorevitch MI, Cossar RM, Bailey FJ, Bisset T, Lewis SJ, Wise LA, MacLennan WJ: The accuracy of self and informant ratings of physical functional capacity in the elderly. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992, **45**(7):791-798.
 38. Dunderdale K, Thompson DR, Beer SF, Furze G, Miles JNV: Development and validation of a patient-centered health-related quality-of-life measure: the Chronic Heart Failure Assessment Tool. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2008, **23**(4):364-370.
 39. Eakin EG, Resnikoff PM, Prewitt LM, Ries AL, Kaplan RM: Validation of a new dyspnea measure: the UCSD Shortness of Breath Questionnaire. *Chest* 1998, **113**(3):619-624.
 40. Eakman AM: A reliability and validity study of the Meaningful Activity Participation Assessment University of Southern California; 2007.
 41. Fillenbaum GG: Screening the elderly. A brief instrumental activities of daily living measure. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1985, **33**(10):698-706.
 42. Fillenbaum GG, Smyer MA: The development, validity, and reliability of the OARS multidimensional functional assessment questionnaire. *J Gerontol* 1981, **36**(4):428-434.
 43. Finch M, Kane RL, Philp I: Developing a new metric for ADLs. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1995, **43**(8):877-884.
 44. Follick MJ, Ahern DK, Laser-Wolston N: Evaluation of a daily activity diary for chronic pain patients. *Pain* 1984, **19**(4):373-382.
 45. Frederiks CM, te Wierik MJ, Visser AP, Sturmans F: The functional status and utilization of care of elderly people living at home. *J Community Health* 1990, **15**(5):307-317.
 46. Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS, Bryant HE: The lifetime total physical activity questionnaire: development and reliability. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1998, **30**(2):266-274.
 47. Fries JF, Spitz PW, Young DY: The dimensions of health outcomes: the health assessment questionnaire, disability and pain scales. *J Rheumatol* 1982, **9**(5):789-793.
 48. Garrad J, Bennett AE: A validated interview schedule for use in population surveys of chronic disease and disability. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 1971, **25**(2):97-104.
 49. Garrod R, Bestall JC, Paul EA, Wedzicha JA, Jones PW: Development and validation of a standardized measure of activity of daily living in patients with severe COPD: the London Chest Activity of Daily Living scale (LCADL). *Respir Med* 2000, **94**(6):589-596.
 50. Guyatt GH, Berman LB, Townsend M, Pugsley SO, Chambers LW: A measure of quality of life for clinical trials in chronic lung disease. *Thorax* 1987, **42**(10):773-778.
 51. Guyatt GH, Eagle DJ, Sackett B, Willan A, Griffith L, McLroy W, Patterson CJ, Turpie I: Measuring quality of life in the frail elderly. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993, **46**(12):1433-1444.
 52. Harwood RH, Rogers A, Dickinson E, Ebrahim S: Measuring handicap: the London Handicap Scale, a new outcome measure for chronic disease. *Qual Health Care* 1994, **3**(1):11-16.
 53. Helmes E, Hodsman A, Lazowski D, Bhardwaj A, Crilly R, Nichol P, Drost D, Vanderburgh L, Pederson L: A Questionnaire To Evaluate Disability in Osteoporotic Patients With Vertebral Compression Fractures. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 1995, **50A**(2):M91-M98.
 54. Hlatky MA, Boineau RE, Higginbotham MB, Lee KL, Mark DB, Califf RM, Cobb FR, Pryor DB: A brief self-administered questionnaire to determine functional capacity (the Duke Activity Status Index). *Am J Cardiol* 1989, **64**(10):651-654.
 55. Hobart JC, Riazi A, Lamping DL, Fitzpatrick R, Thompson AJ: Measuring the impact of MS on walking ability: The 12-Item MS Walking Scale (MSWS-12). *Neurology* 2003, **60**(1):31-36.
 56. Holbrook M, Skilbeck CE: An activities index for use with stroke patients. *Age Ageing* 1983, **12**(2):166-170.
 57. Hyland ME: The Living with Asthma Questionnaire. *Respir Med* 1991, **85**(2):13-16.
 58. Jacobs JE, Maille AR, Akkermans RP, van Weel C, Grol RP: Assessing the quality of life of adults with chronic respiratory diseases in routine primary care: construction and first validation of the 10-Item Respiratory Illness Questionnaire-monitoring 10 (RIQ-MON10). *Qual Life Res* 2004, **13**(6):1117-1127.
 59. Jette AM, Deniston OL: Inter-observer reliability of a functional status assessment instrument. *J Chronic Dis* 1978, **31**(9-10):573-580.
 60. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P: A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation. The St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992, **145**(6).
 61. Kaplan RM, Sieber WJ, Ganiats TG: The quality of well-being scale: comparison of the interviewer-administered version with a self-administered questionnaire. *Psychol Health* 1997, **12**:783-791.
 62. Keith RA, Granger CV, Hamilton BB, Sherwin FS: The functional independence measure: a new tool for rehabilitation. *Adv Clin Rehabil* 1987, **1**:6-18.
 63. Kempen GI, Suurmeijer TP: The development of a hierarchical polychotomous ADL-IADL scale for noninstitutionalized elders. *Gerontologist* 1990, **30**(4):497-502.
 64. Kuhl K, Schurmann W, Rief W: COPD disability index (CDI) - a new instrument to assess COPD-related disability. *COPD-Disability-Index (CDI) - ein neues Verfahren zur Erfassung der COPD-bedingten Beeinträchtigung* 2009, **63**(3):136.
 65. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C: Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005, **27**(12):695-701.
 66. Lareau SC, Carrieri-Kohlman V, Janson-Bjerkle S, Roos PJ: Development and testing of the Pulmonary Functional Status and Dyspnea Questionnaire (PFSDQ). *Heart Lung* 1994, **23**(3):242-250.
 67. Lareau SC, Meek PM, Roos PJ: Development and testing of the modified version of the Pulmonary Functional Status and Dyspnea Questionnaire (PFSDQ-M). *Heart Lung* 1998, **27**(3):159-168.
 68. Lee L, Friesen M, Lambert IR, Loudon RG: Evaluation of Dyspnea During Physical and Speech Activities in Patients With Pulmonary Diseases. *Chest* 1998, **113**(3):625-632.
 69. Leidy NK: Psychometric properties of the functional performance inventory in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Nurs Res* 1999, **48**(1):20-28.
 70. Lerner D, Amick BC III, Rogers WH, Malspeis S, Bungay K, Cynn D: The Work Limitations Questionnaire. *Medical care* 2001, **39**(1):72-85.
 71. Letrait M, Lurie A, Bean K, Mesbah M, Venot A, Strauch G, Grandordy BM, Chwalow J: The Asthma Impact Record (AIR) index: a rating scale to evaluate the quality of life of asthmatic patients in France. *Eur Respir J* 1996, **9**(6):1167-1173.
 72. Lewin RJ, Thompson DR, Martin CR, Stuckey N, Devlen J, Michaelson S, Maguire P: Validation of the Cardiovascular Limitations and Symptoms Profile (CLASP) in chronic stable angina. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2002, **22**(3):184-191.
 73. Linton SJ: Activities of daily living scale for patients with chronic pain. *Percept Mot Skills* 1990, **71**(3 Pt 1):722.
 74. Liu B, Woo J, Tang N, Ng K, Ip R, Yu A: Assessment of total energy expenditure in a Chinese population by a physical activity questionnaire: examination of validity. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2001, **52**(3):269-282.
 75. Maillé AR, Koning CJM, Zwinderman AH, Willems LNA, Dijkman JH, Kaptein AA: The development of the [I]Quality-of-Life for Respiratory Illness Questionnaire (QOL-RIQ): a disease-specific quality-of-life questionnaire for patients with mild to moderate chronic non-specific lung disease. *Respir Med* 1997, **91**(5):297-309.
 76. Mathias SD, Bussell JB, George JN, McMillan R, Okano GJ, Nichol JL: A disease-specific measure of health-related quality of life in adults with

- chronic immune thrombocytopenic purpura: psychometric testing in an open-label clinical trial. *Clin Ther* 2007, **29**(5):950-962.
77. Mathuranath PS, George A, Cherian PJ, Mathew R, Sarma PS: Instrumental activities of daily living scale for dementia screening in elderly people. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2005, **17**(3):461-474.
78. Mayer J, Mooney V, Matheson L, Leggett S, Verna J, Balourdas G, DeFilippo G: Reliability and validity of a new computer-administered pictorial activity and task sort. *J Occup Rehabil* 2005, **15**(2):203-213.
79. McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Lu JF, Sherbourne CD: The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. *Medical care* 1994, **32**(1):40-66.
80. Migliore Norweg A, Whiteson J, Demetis S, Rey M: A new functional status outcome measure of dyspnea and anxiety for adults with lung disease: the dyspnea management questionnaire. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2006, **26**(6):395-404.
81. Moriarty D, Zack M, Kobau R: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Healthy Days Measures - Population tracking of perceived physical and mental health over time. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003, **1**(1):37.
82. Morimoto M, Takai K, Nakajima K, Kagawa K: Development of the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease activity rating scale: reliability, validity and factorial structure. *Nurs Health Sci* 2003, **5**(1):23-30.
83. Morris WW, Buckwalter KC, Cleary TA, Gilmer JS: Issues related to the validation of the Iowa Self-Assessment Inventory. *Educ Psychol Meas* 1989, **49**(4):853-861.
84. Myers J, Do D, Herbert W, Ribisl P, Froelicher VF: A nomogram to predict exercise capacity from a specific activity questionnaire and clinical data. *Am J Cardiol* 1994, **73**(8):591-596.
85. Nijs J, Vaes P, McGregor N, Van Hoof E, De Meirleir K: Psychometric properties of the Dutch Chronic Fatigue Syndrome-Activities and Participation Questionnaire (CFS-APQ). *Phys Ther* 2003, **83**(5):444-454.
86. Nouri FM, Lincoln NB: An extended activities of daily living scale for stroke patients. *Clin Rehabil* 1987, **1**(4):301-305.
87. Parkerson GRJMDMPH, Gehlbach SHMDMPH, Wagner EHMDMPH, James SAPD, Clapp NERNMPH, Muhlbaier LHMS: The Duke-UNC Health Profile: An Adult Health Status Instrument for Primary Care. *Medical care* 1981, **19**(8):806-828.
88. Pluijm SM, Bardage C, Nikula S, Blumstein T, Jylha M, Minicuci N, Zunzunegui MV, Pedersen NL, Deeg DJ: A harmonized measure of activities of daily living was a reliable and valid instrument for comparing disability in older people across countries. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005, **58**(10):1015-1023.
89. Rankin SL, Briffa TG, Morton AR, Hung J: A specific activity questionnaire to measure the functional capacity of cardiac patients. *Am J Cardiol* 1996, **77**(14):1220-1223.
90. Regensteiner JG, Steiner JF, Panzer RJ, Hiatt WR: Evaluation of walking impairment by questionnaire in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *J Vasc Med Biol* 1990, **2**:142-152.
91. Rejeski WJ, Ettinger JWH, Schumaker S, James P, Burns R, Elam JT: Assessing performance-related disability in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1995, **3**(3):157-167.
92. Resnick B, Jenkins LS: Testing the reliability and validity of the Self-Efficacy for Exercise Scale. *Nurs Res* 2000, **49**(3):154-159.
93. Rimmer JH, Riley BB, Rubin SS: A new measure for assessing the physical activity behaviors of persons with disabilities and chronic health conditions: the Physical Activity and Disability Survey. *Am J Health Promot* 2001, **16**(1):34-42.
94. Roland M, Morris R: A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. *Spine* 1983, **8**(2):141-144.
95. Rotstein Z, Barak Y, Noy S, Achiron A: Quality of life in multiple sclerosis: development and validation of the 'RAYS' scale and comparison with the SF-36. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2000, **12**(6):511-517.
96. Schag AC, Heinrich RL, Aadland RL, Ganz PA: Assessing Problems of Cancer Patients: Psychometric Properties of the Cancer Inventory of Problem Situations. *Health Psychol* 1990, **9**(1):83-102.
97. Schultz-Larsen K, Avlund K, Kreiner S: Functional ability of community dwelling elderly. Criterion-related validity of a new measure of functional ability. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992, **45**(11):1315-1326.
98. Shah S, Vancly F, Cooper B: Improving the sensitivity of the Barthel Index for stroke rehabilitation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1989, **42**(8):703-709.
99. Sintonen H: The 15-D Measure of Health Related Quality of Life: Reliability, Validity and Sensitivity of its Health State Descriptive System. 1994.
100. Sintonen H: The 15D instrument of health-related quality of life: properties and applications. *Ann Med* 2001, **33**(5):328-336.
101. So CT, Man DWK: Development and validation of an activities of daily living inventory for the rehabilitation of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *OTJR: Occupation, Participation & Health* 2008, **28**(4):149-159.
102. Stel VS, Smit JH, Pluijm SM, Visser M, Deeg DJ, Lips P: Comparison of the LASA Physical Activity Questionnaire with a 7-day diary and pedometer. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004, **57**(3):252-258.
103. Stewart AL, Mills KM, King AC, Haskell WL, Gillis D, Ritter PL: CHAMPS physical activity questionnaire for older adults: outcomes for interventions. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2001, **33**(7):1126-1141.
104. Tinetti ME, Richman D, Powell L: Falls efficacy as a measure of fear of falling. *J Gerontol* 1990, **45**(6):P239-P243.
105. Tu SP, McDonnell MB, Spertus JA, Steele BG, Fihn SD: A new self-administered questionnaire to monitor health-related quality of life in patients with COPD. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP) Investigators. *Chest* 1997, **112**(3):614-622.
106. Tugwell P, Bombardier C, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Grace E, Hanna B: The MACTAR Patient Preference Disability Questionnaire-an individualized functional priority approach for assessing improvement in physical disability in clinical trials in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1987, **14**(3):446-451.
107. van der Molen T, Willemse BW, Schokker S, ten Hacken NH, Postma DS, Juniper EF: Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003, **1**:13.
108. Verbunt JA: Reliability and validity of the PAD questionnaire: a measure to assess pain-related decline in physical activity. *J Rehabil Med* 2008, **40**(1):9-14.
109. Voorrips LE, Ravelli AC, Dongelmans PC, Deurenberg P, Van Staveren WA: A physical activity questionnaire for the elderly. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1991, **23**(8):974-979.
110. Washburn RA, Smith KW, Jette AM, Janney CA: The Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): development and evaluation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993, **46**(2):153-162.
111. Weaver TE, Narsavage GL, Guilfoyle MJ: The development and psychometric evaluation of the Pulmonary Functional Status Scale: an instrument to assess functional status in pulmonary disease. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 1998, **18**(2):105-111.
112. Wigal JK, Creer TL, Kotses H: The COPD Self-Efficacy Scale. *Chest* 1991, **99**(5):1193-1196.
113. Windisch W, Freidel K, Schucher B, Baumann H, Wiebel M, Matthys H, Petermann F: The Severe Respiratory Insufficiency (SRI) Questionnaire A specific measure of health-related quality of life in patients receiving home mechanical ventilation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2003, **56**(8):752-759.
114. Yohannes AM, Roomi J, Winn S, Connolly MJ: The Manchester Respiratory Activities of Daily Living questionnaire: development, reliability, validity, and responsiveness to pulmonary rehabilitation. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000, **48**(11):1496-1500.
115. Yoza Y, Ariyoshi K, Honda S, Taniguchi H, Senjyu H: Development of an activity of daily living scale for patients with COPD: the Activity of Daily Living Dyspnoea scale. *Respirology* 2009, **14**(3):429-435.
116. Topolski TD, LoGerfo J, Patrick DL, Williams B, Walwick J, Patrick MB: The Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity (RAPA) among older adults. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2006, **3**(4):A118.
117. Zaragoza J, Lugli-Rivero Z: Development and Validation of a Quality of Life Questionnaire for Patients with Chronic Respiratory Disease (CV-PERC): Preliminary Results. *Construccion y validacion del instrumento Calidad de Vida en Pacientes con Enfermedades Respiratorias Crónicas (CV-PERC) Resultados preliminares* 2009, **45**(2):81.
118. Zhou YQ, Chen SY, Jiang LD, Guo CY, Shen ZY, Huang PX, Wang JY: Development and evaluation of the quality of life instrument in chronic liver disease patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009, **24**(3):408-415.

119. Zisberg A: **Influence of routine on functional status in elderly: development and validation of an instrument to measure routine.** University of Washington; 2005.
120. Mancuso CA, Sayles W, Robbins L, Phillips EG, Ravenell K, Duffy C, Wenderoth S, Charlson ME: **Barriers and facilitators to healthy physical activity in asthma patients.** *J Asthma* 2006, **43**(2):137-143.
121. Gimeno-Santos E, Frei A, Dobbels F, Rudell K, Puhon MA, Garcia-Aymerich J: **Validity of instruments to measure physical activity may be questionable due to a lack of conceptual frameworks: a systematic review.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2011, **9**:86.
122. Garcia-Aymerich J, Lange P, Benet M, Schnohr P, Anto JM: **Regular physical activity reduces hospital admission and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population based cohort study.** *Thorax* 2006, **61**(9):772-778.
123. Ainsworth BE, Jacobs DR Jr, Leon AS: **Validity and reliability of self-reported physical activity status: the Lipid Research Clinics questionnaire.** *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 1993, **25**(1):92-98.
124. Ainsworth BE, Leon AS, Richardson MT, Jacobs DR, Paffenbarger RS Jr: **Accuracy of the College Alumni Physical Activity Questionnaire.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993, **46**(12):1403-1411.
125. Almeida MH, de Pinho Spinala AW, Iwamizu PS, Okura RI, Barroso LP, Lima AC: **Reliability of the instrument for classifying elderly people's capacity for self-care.** *Rev Saude Publica* 2008, **42**(2):317-323.
126. Anders J, Dapp U, Laub S, von RentelnKruze W: **Impact of fall risk and fear of falling on mobility of independently living senior citizens transitioning to frailty: Screening results concerning fall prevention in the community.** *Z Gerontol Geriatr* 2007, **40**(4):255-267.
127. Araki A, Izumo Y, Inoue J, et al: **Development of Elderly Diabetes Impact Scales (EDIS) in elderly patients with diabetes mellitus.** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 1995, **32**(12):786-796.
128. Arbuckle TY, Gold D, Andres D: **Cognitive functioning of older people in relation to social and personality variables.** *Psychol Aging* 1986, **1**(1):55-62.
129. Avlund K, Kreiner S, Schultz-Larsen K: **Construct validation and the Rasch model: functional ability of healthy elderly people.** *Scand J Soc Med* 1993, **21**(4):233-246.
130. Badia X, Webb SM, Prieto L, Lara N: **Acromegaly Quality of Life Questionnaire (AcroQoL).** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2004, **2**:13.
131. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters JE: **A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 1982, **36**(5):936-942.
132. Bandura A: **Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.** *Freeman & Co* 1997.
133. Barber JH, Wallis JB, McKeating E: **A postal screening questionnaire in preventive geriatric care.** *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1980, **30**(210):49-51.
134. Barberger-Gateau P, Commenges D, Gagnon M, Letenneur L, Sauvel C, Dartigues J: **Instrumental Activities of Daily Living as a screening tool for cognitive impairment and dementia in elderly community dwellers.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1992, **40**(11):1129-1134.
135. Barberger-Gateau P, Rainville C, Letenneur L, Dartigues J: **A hierarchical model of domains of disablement in the elderly: a longitudinal approach.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2000, **22**(7):308-317.
136. Basler HD, Luckmann J, Wolf U, Quint S: **Fear-avoidance beliefs, physical activity, and disability in elderly individuals with chronic low back pain and healthy controls.** *Clin J Pain* 2008, **24**(7):604-610.
137. Bayliss EA, Ellis JL, Steiner JF: **Subjective assessments of comorbidity correlate with quality of life health outcomes: initial validation of a comorbidity assessment instrument.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2005, **3**:51.
138. Bennell KL, Hinman RS, Crossley KM, et al: **Is the Human Activity Profile a useful measure in people with knee osteoarthritis?** *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2004, **41**(4):621-629.
139. Berg K, W DS, LW J: **Measuring balance in the elderly: preliminary development of an instrument.** *Physiother Can* 1989, **41**(6):301-311.
140. Bergland A, Jarnlo G, Laake K: **Validity of an index of self-reported walking for balance and falls in elderly women.** *Advances in Physiotherapy* 2002, **4**(2):65-73.
141. Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA: **Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Thorax* 1999, **54**(7):581-586.
142. Binder EF, Miller JP, Ball LJ: **Development of a test of physical performance for the nursing home setting.** *Gerontologist* 2001, **41**(5):671-679.
143. Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Leblanc C, Lortie G, Savard R, Theriault G: **A method to assess energy expenditure in children and adults.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 1983, **37**(3):461-467.
144. Boulton C, Krinke UB, Urdangarin CF, Skarin V: **The validity of nutritional status as a marker for future disability and depressive symptoms among high-risk older adults.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999, **47**(8):995-999.
145. Bowns I, Challis D, Tong MS: **Case finding in elderly people: validation of a postal questionnaire.** *Br J Gen Pract* 1991, **41**(344):100-104.
146. Braido F, Baiardini I, Tarantini F, et al: **Chronic cough and QoL in allergic and respiratory diseases measured by a new specific validated tool-CCIQ.** *J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol* 2006, **16**(2):110-116.
147. Budzynski HK, Budzynski T: **Perceived Physical Functioning Scale for community dwelling elderly...** 34th Annual Communicative Nursing Research Conference/15th Annual WIN Assembly, "Health Care Challenges Beyond 2001: Mapping the Journey for Research and Practice," held April 19-21, 2001 in Seattle, Washington. *Commun Nurs Res* 2001, **34**:325-325.
148. Burckhardt CS, Woods SL, Schultz AA, Ziebarth DM: **Quality of life of adults with chronic illness: a psychometric study.** *Res Nurs Health* 1989, **12**(6):347-354.
149. Carter R, Holiday DB, Grothues C, Nwasuruba C, Stocks J, Tiep B: **Criterion validity of the Duke Activity Status Index for assessing functional capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2002, **22**(4):298-308.
150. Cartmel B, Moon TE: **Comparison of two physical activity questionnaires, with a diary, for assessing physical activity in an elderly population.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992, **45**(8):877-883.
151. Chasan-Taber S, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, et al: **Reproducibility and Validity of a Self-Administered Physical Activity Questionnaire for Male Health Professionals.** *Epidemiology* 1996, **7**(1):81-86.
152. Chen H, Eisner MD, Katz PP, Yelin EH, Blanc PD: **Measuring disease-specific quality of life in obstructive airway disease: Validation of a modified version of the airways questionnaire 20.** *Chest* 2006, **129**(6):1644.
153. Chen Q, Kane RL: **Effects of using consumer and expert ratings of an activities of daily living scale on predicting functional outcomes of postacute care.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001, **54**(4):334-342.
154. Chester GA: **Normative data for the brief symptom inventory for mature and independent living adults** 2001.
155. Chiou C: **Development and psychometric assessment of the Physical Symptom Distress Scale.** *J Pain Symptom Manage* 1998, **16**(2):87-95.
156. Choi YH, Kim MS, Byon YS, Won JS: **[Health status of elderly persons in Korea].** *Kanho Hakhoe Chi [Journal of Nurses Academic Society]* 1990, **20**(3):307-323.
157. Clarke JE, Eccleston C: **Assessing the quality of walking in adults with chronic pain: the development and preliminary psychometric evaluation of the Bath Assessment of Walking Inventory.** *European Journal of Pain: Ejp* 2009, **13**(3):305-311.
158. Coleman EA, Wagner EH, Grothaus LC, Hecht J, Savorino J, Buchner DM: **Predicting hospitalization and functional decline in older health plan enrollees: are administrative data as accurate as self-report?** [see comment]. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998, **46**(4):419-425.
159. Colombel JF, Yazdanpanah Y, Laurent F, Houcke P, Delas N, Marquis P: **Quality of life in chronic inflammatory bowel diseases. Validation of a questionnaire and first French data.** *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 1996, **20**(12):1071-1077.
160. Covinsky KE, Hilton J, Lindquist K, Dudley RA: **Development and validation of an index to predict activity of daily living dependence in community-dwelling elders.** *Medical care* 2006, **44**(2):149-157.
161. Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Counsell SR, Pine ZM, Walter LC, Chren M: **Functional status before hospitalization in acutely ill older adults: Validity and clinical importance of retrospective reports.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000, **48**(2):164-169.
162. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, et al: **International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity.** *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 2003, **35**(8):1381-1395.
163. Crawford B, Monz B, Hohlfield J, et al: **Development and validation of a cough and sputum assessment questionnaire.** *Respir Med* 2008, **102**(11):1545-1555.
164. Creel GL, Light KE, Thigpen MT: **Concurrent and construct validity of scores on the Timed Movement Battery.** *Phys Ther* 2001, **81**(2):789-798.

165. Crockett DJ, Tuokko H, Koch W, Parks R: **The assessment of everyday functioning using the Present Functioning Questionnaire and the Functional Rating Scale in elderly samples.** *Clin Gerontol* 1989, **8**(3):3-25.
166. Crouch MJ: *Assessing components of loss-related dysfunction in the elderly* 2003.
167. Cullum CM, Saine K, Chan LD, Martin-Cook K, Gray KF, Weiner MF: **Performance- Based instrument to assess functional capacity in dementia: The Texas Functional Living Scale.** *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol* 2001, **14**(2):103-108.
168. Dahlin-Ivanoff S, Sonn U, Svensson E: **Development of an ADL instrument targeting elderly persons with age-related macular degeneration.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2001, **23**(2):69-79.
169. Daltroy LH, Phillips CB, Eaton HM, et al: **Objectively measuring physical ability in elderly persons: the Physical Capacity Evaluation.** *Am J Public Health* 1995, **85**(4):558-560.
170. De Leo D, Diekstra RF, Lonnqvist J, et al: **LEIPAD, an internationally applicable instrument to assess quality of life in the elderly.** *Behav Med* 1998, **24**(1):17-27.
171. de Veer AJ, de Bakker DH: **Measuring unmet needs to assess the quality of home health care.** *Int J Qual Health Care* 1994, **6**(3):267-274.
172. Deniston OL, Jette A: **A functional status assessment instrument: validation in an elderly population.** *Health Serv Res* 1980, **15**(1):21-34.
173. Devins GM: **Using the illness intrusiveness ratings scale to understand health-related quality of life in chronic disease.** *Journal of psychosomatic research* 2009, Journal Article.
174. Devins GM, Binik YM, Hutchinson TA, Hollomby DJ, Barre PE, Guttmann RD: **The emotional impact of end-stage renal disease: importance of patients' perception of intrusiveness and control.** *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1983, **13**(4):327-343.
175. Devlen JMSMP: **Measuring Quality of Life: A Disease-Specific Approach.**
176. Deyo RA, Inui TS, Leininger JD, Overman SS: **Measuring functional outcomes in chronic disease: a comparison of traditional scales and a self-administered health status questionnaire in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.** *Medical care* 1983, **21**(2):180-192.
177. Dickerson AE, Fisher AG: **Culture-relevant functional performance assessment of the Hispanic elderly.** *Occupational Therapy Journal of Research* 1995, **15**(1):50-68.
178. Dinger MK, Oman RF, Taylor EL, Vesely SK, Able J: **Stability and convergent validity of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE).** *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2004, **44**(2):186-192.
179. Dixon D, Pollard B, Johnston M: **What does the chronic pain grade questionnaire measure?** *Pain* 2007, **130**(3):249-253.
180. Doble SE, Fisher AG: **The dimensionality and validity of the Older Americans Resources and Services (OARS) Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Scale.** *J Outcome Meas* 1998, **2**(1):4-24.
181. DuBose KD, Edwards S, Ainsworth BE, Reis JP, Slattery ML: **Validation of a historical physical activity questionnaire in middle-aged women.** *J Phys Act Health* 2007, **4**(3):343-355.
182. Duiverman ML, Wempe JB, Bladder G, Kerstjens HA, Wijkstra PJ: **Health-related quality of life in COPD patients with chronic respiratory failure.** *The European respiratory journal: official journal of the European Society for Clinical Respiratory Physiology* 2008, **32**(2):379.
183. Eakman AM: *A reliability and validity study of the Meaningful Activity Participation Assessment* 2008.
184. Eaton T, Young P, Fergusson W, Garrett JE, Kolbe J: **The Dartmouth COOP Charts: A simple, reliable, valid and responsive quality of life tool for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Quality of Life Research: An International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care & Rehabilitation* 2005, **14**(3):577-585.
185. Edwards R, Telfair J, Cecil H, Lenoci J: **Reliability and validity of a self-efficacy instrument specific to sickle cell disease.** *Behav Res Ther* 2000, **38**(9):951-963.
186. Eisner MD, Trupin L, Katz PP, et al: **Development and validation of a survey-based COPD severity score.** *Chest* 2005, **127**(6):1890.
187. Fabris F: **MMPMFGVPS. Dependence medical index (DMI) in elderly persons: a tool for identification of dependence for medical reasons.** *Bold* 1996, **6**:9-12.
188. Fairbank JC, Couper J, Davies JB, O'Brien JP: **The Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire.** *Physiotherapy* 1980, **66**(8):271-273.
189. Feitel B: **A checklist for measuring nonfunctional behavior of regressed chronic psychiatric patients.** *J Clin Psychol* 1981, **37**(1):158-160.
190. Fillenbaum GG, Chandra V, Ganguli M, et al: **Development of an activities of daily living scale to screen for dementia in an illiterate rural older population in India.** *Age Ageing* 1999, **28**(2):161-168.
191. Fillenbaum GG, Pfeiffer E: **The Mini-Mult: A cautionary note.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1976, **44**(5):698-703.
192. Fine MA, Tangeman PJ: **Adaptive Behavior Scale predictive validity with elderly male veterans.** *Clin Gerontol* 1993, **14**(2):27-31.
193. Fisher AG: **The assessment of IADL motor skills: an application of many-faceted Rasch analysis.** *American journal of occupational therapy: official publication of the American Occupational Therapy Association* 1993, **47**(4):319-329.
194. Floyd FJ, Haynes SN, Doll ER, et al: **Assessing retirement satisfaction and perceptions of retirement experiences.** *Psychol Aging* 1992, **7**(4):609-621.
195. Frederiks CMA, te Wierik MJM, Visser AP, Sturmans F: **A scale for the functional status of the elderly living at home.** *J Adv Nurs* 1991, **16**(3):287-292.
196. Gabel CP, Michener LA, Burkett B, Neller A: **The Upper Limb Functional Index: development and determination of reliability, validity, and responsiveness.** *Journal of hand therapy: official journal of the American Society of Hand Therapists* 2006, **19**(3):328-348, quiz 349.
197. Gerety MB, Mulrow CD, Tuley MR, et al: **Development and validation of a physical performance instrument for the functionally impaired elderly: the Physical Disability Index (PDI).** *J Gerontol* 1993, **48**(2):M33-M38.
198. Gosman-Hedstrom G, Svensson E: **Parallel reliability of the functional independence measure and the Barthel ADL index.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2000, **22**(16):702-715.
199. Granger Carl VMD, Benjamin D, Wright P: **Looking ahead to the use of functional assessment in ambulatory psychiatric and primary care.** *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America: New Developments in Functional Assessment* 1993, **4**(3):595-605.
200. Granger CV, Ottenbacher KJ, Baker JG, Sehgal A: **Reliability of a brief outpatient functional outcome assessment measure.** *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation/Association of Academic Physiatrists* 1995, **74**(6):469-475.
201. Greenland P, Ries AL, Williams MA: **Literature update: selected abstracts from recent publications in cardiac and pulmonary disease prevention, rehabilitation, and exercise physiology. [Commentary on] Development and validation of a standardized measure of activity of daily living in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the London Chest Activity of Daily Living Scale.** *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2001, **21**(3):178-179.
202. Gulick EE: **Reliability and validity of the work assessment scale for persons with multiple sclerosis.** *Nurs Res* 1991, **40**(2):107-112.
203. Gulick EE, Yam M, Touw MM: **Work performance by persons with multiple sclerosis: conditions that impede or enable the performance of work.** *Int J Nurs Stud* 1989, **26**(4):301-311.
204. Haapaniemi TH, Sotaniemi KA, Sintonen H, Taimela E: **The generic 15D instrument is valid and feasible for measuring health related quality of life in Parkinson's disease.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004, **75**(7):976-983.
205. Hagg O, Fritzell P, Romberg K, Nordwall A: **The General Function Score: a useful tool for measurement of physical disability. Validity and reliability.** *European spine journal: official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society* 2001, **10**(3):203-210.
206. Han CW, Yajima Y, Lee EJ, et al: **Development and construct validation of the Korean competence scale (KCS).** *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2004, **203**(4):331-337.
207. Harada K, Ota A, Shibata A, Oka K, Nakamura Y, Muraoka I: **Development of the exercise-specified subjective health status scale for the frail elderly... 7th World Congress on Aging and Physical Activity.** *J Aging Phys Act* 2008, **16**:185-185.
208. Harwood RH, Rogers A, Dickinson E, Ebrahim S: **Measuring handicap: the London Handicap Scale, a new outcome measure for chronic disease.** *Qual Health Care* 1994, **3**(1):11-16.
209. Hebert R, Carrier R, Bilodeau A: **The Functional Autonomy Measurement System (SMAF): description and validation of an instrument for the measurement of handicaps.** *Age Ageing* 1988, **17**(5):293-302.

210. Hidalgo JL, Gras CB, Lapeira JM, et al: **The Hearing-Dependent Daily Activities Scale to evaluate impact of hearing loss in older people.** *Ann Fam Med* 2008, **6**(5):441-447.
211. Hiratsuka T, Kida K: **Quality of life measurements using a linear analog scale for elderly patients with chronic lung disease.** *Internal medicine (Tokyo, Japan)* 1993, **32**(11):832-836.
212. Hodgev V, Kostianev S, Marinov B: **University of Cincinnati Dyspnea Questionnaire for Evaluation of Dyspnoea during physical and speech activities in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a validation analysis.** *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2003, **23**(5):269-274.
213. Holm I, Friis A, Storheim K, Brox JI: **Measuring self-reported functional status and pain in patients with chronic low back pain by postal questionnaires: a reliability study.** *Spine* 2003, **28**(8):828-833.
214. Huijbregts MP, Teare GF, McCullough C, et al: **Standardization of the continuing care activity measure: a multicenter study to assess reliability, validity, and ability to measure change.** *Phys Ther* 2009, **89**(6):546-555.
215. Iida N, Kohashi N, Koyama W: **The reliability and validity of a new self-completed questionnaire (QUIK).** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 1995, **32**(2):96-100.
216. Incalzi RA, Corsonello A, Pedone C, et al: **Construct validity of activities of daily living scale: a clue to distinguish the disabling effects of COPD and congestive heart failure.** *Chest* 2005, **127**(3):830-838.
217. Itzkovich M, Catz A, Tamir A, et al: **Spinal pain independence measure-a new scale for assessment of primary ADL dysfunction related to LBP.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2001, **23**(5):186-191.
218. Jensen MP, Turner JA, Romano JM, Strom SE: **The Chronic Pain Coping Inventory: development and preliminary validation.** *Pain* 1995, **60**(2):203-216.
219. Jette AM, Davies AR, Cleary PD, et al: **The Functional Status Questionnaire: reliability and validity when used in primary care.** *J Gen Intern Med* 1986, **1**(3):143-149.
220. Kai I, Ohi G, Kobayashi Y, Ishizaki T, Hisata M, Kiuchi M: **Quality of life: a possible health index for the elderly.** *Asia Pac J Public Health* 1991, **5**(3):221-227.
221. Kames LD, Naliboff BD, Heinrich RL, Schag CC: **The chronic illness problem inventory: problem-oriented psychosocial assessment of patients with chronic illness.** *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1984, **14**(1):65-75.
222. Katsura H, Yamada K, Kida K: **Usefulness of a linear analog scale questionnaire to measure health-related quality of life in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003, **51**(8):1131-1135.
223. Katz JN, Wright EA, Baron JA, Losina E: **Development and validation of an index of musculoskeletal functional limitations.** *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2009, **10**:62.
224. Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW: **Studies of Illness in the Aged. the Index of Adl: a Standardized Measure of Biological and Psychosocial Function.** *JAMA* 1963, **185**:914-919.
225. Kincannon JC: **Prediction of the standard MMPI scale scores from 71 items: the mini-mult.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1968, **32**(3):319-325.
226. Lachman ME, Howland J, Tennstedt S, Jette A, Assmann S, Peterson EW: **Fear of falling and activity restriction: the survey of activities and fear of falling in the elderly (SAFE).** *Journals of Gerontology Series B-Psychological Sciences & Social Sciences* 1998, **53**(1):P43-P50.
227. Larson JL, Kapella MC, Wirtz S, Covey MK, Berry J: **Reliability and validity of the Functional Performance Inventory in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *J Nurs Meas* 1998, **6**(1):55-73.
228. Lawton MP, Brody EM: **Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living.** *Gerontologist* 1969, **9**(3):179-186.
229. Leeuw M, Goossens ME, van Breukelen GJ, Boersma K, Vlaeyen JW: **Measuring perceived harmfulness of physical activities in patients with chronic low back pain: the Photograph Series of Daily Activities-short electronic version.** *J Pain* 2007, **8**(11):840-849.
230. Leidy NK: **Using functional status to assess treatment outcomes.** *Chest* 1994, **106**(6):1645-1646.
231. Leidy NK: **Functional status and the forward progress of merry-go-rounds: toward a coherent analytical framework.** *Nurs Res* 1994, **43**(4):196-202.
232. Leidy NK, Knebel AR: **Clinical validation of the functional performance inventory in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Respir Care* 1999, **44**(8):932.
233. Leidy NK, Schmier JK, Jones MK, Lloyd J, Rocchiccioli K: **Evaluating symptoms in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: validation of the Breathlessness, Cough and Sputum Scale.** *Respir Med* 2003, **97**:59-70.
234. Lennon S, Johnson L: **The modified rivermead mobility index: validity and reliability.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2000, **22**(18):833-839.
235. Lenze EJ, Munin MC, Quear T, et al: **The Pittsburgh Rehabilitation Participation Scale: reliability and validity of a clinician-rated measure of participation in acute rehabilitation.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004, **85**(3):380-384.
236. Letts L, Scott S, Burtney J, Marshall L, McKean M: **The reliability and validity of the safety assessment of function and the environment for rehabilitation (SAFER tool).** *British Journal of Occupational Therapy* 1998, **61**(3):127-132.
237. Leung AS, Chan KK, Sykes K, Chan KS: **Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of a 2-min walk test to assess exercise capacity of COPD patients.** *Chest* 2006, **130**(1):119-125.
238. Levine S, Gillen M, Weiser P, Feiss G, Goldman M, Henson D: **Inspiratory pressure generation: comparison of subjects with COPD and age-matched normals.** *J Appl Physiol* 1988, **65**(2):888-899.
239. Lincoln NB, Gladman JR: **The Extended Activities of Daily Living scale: a further validation.** *Disabil Rehabil* 1992, **14**(1):41-43.
240. Linn MW, Linn BS: **Self-evaluation of life function (self) scale: a short, comprehensive self-report of health for elderly adults.** *J Gerontol* 1984, **39**(5):603-612.
241. Linzer M, Gold DT, Pontinen M, Divine GW, Felder A, Brooks WB: **Recurrent syncope as a chronic disease: preliminary validation of a disease-specific measure of functional impairment.** *J Gen Intern Med* 1994, **9**(4):181-186.
242. Littman AJ, White E, Kristal AR, Patterson RE, Satia-Abouta J, Potter JD: **Assessment of a one-page questionnaire on long-term recreational physical activity.** *Epidemiology* 2004, **15**(1):105-113.
243. Livingston G, Watkin V, Manela M, Rosser R, Katona C: **Quality of life in older people.** *Aging Ment Health* 1998, **2**(1):20-23.
244. Ljungquist T, Nygren A, Jensen I, Harms-Ringdahl K: **Physical performance tests for people with spinal pain-sensitivity to change.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2003, **25**(15):856-866.
245. Lundin-Olsson L, Nyberg L, Gustafson Y: **Attention, frailty, and falls: the effect of a manual task on basic mobility.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998, **46**(6):758-761.
246. Macfarlane DJ, Chou KL, Cheng YH, Chi I: **Validity and normative data for thirty-second chair stand test in elderly community-dwelling Hong Kong Chinese.** *Am J Hum Biol* 2006, **18**(3):418-421.
247. MacKenzie CR, Charlson ME, DiGioia D, Kelley K: **A patient-specific measure of change in maximal function.** *Arch Intern Med* 1986, **146**(7):1325-1329.
248. MacKnight C, Rockwood K: **A Hierarchical Assessment of Balance and Mobility.** *Age Ageing* 1995, **24**(2):126-130.
249. Maeda A, Yuasa T, Nakamura K, Higuchi S, Motohashi Y: **Physical performance tests after stroke: reliability and validity.** *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2000, **79**(6):519-525.
250. Magnussen L, Strand LI, Lygren H: **Reliability and validity of the back performance scale: observing activity limitation in patients with back pain.** *Spine* 2004, **29**(8):903-907.
251. Mahoney RI, Barthel DW, Shah Surya: **Professor Occupational Therapy and Neurology, Visiting Professor Neurorehabilitation, University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, 930 Madison, Suite 601, Memphis, TN 38163.** 1965, **1**:1.
252. Mahurin RK, DeBettignies BH, Pirozzolo FJ: **Structured assessment of independent living skills: preliminary report of a performance measure of functional abilities in dementia.** *J Gerontol* 1991, **46**(2):P58-P66.
253. Majani G, Callegari S, Pierobon A, Giardini A, Vidotto G: **Satisfaction profile (SAT-P): A new evaluation instrument in a clinical environment.** *Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale* 1997, **3**(1):27-41.
254. Majani G: **CAPA. A New Instrument in Quality-of-Lie Assessment The Satisfaction Profile (SAT-P).** *International Journal of Mental Health* 1999, **28**(3):77-82.
255. Marcus BH, Rossi JS, Selby VC, Niaura RS, Abrams DB: **The stages and processes of exercise adoption and maintenance in a worksite sample.**

- Health psychology: official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association* 1992, **11**(6):386-395.
256. Marks GB, Dunn SM, Woolcock AJ: **A scale for the measurement of quality of life in adults with asthma.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992, **45**(5):461-472.
 257. Marquis P: **Evaluation de l'impact de l'artériopathie oblitérante des membres inférieurs sur la qualité de vie.** *Drugs* 1998, **56**(6):25-35.
 258. Marquis P, Fayol C, McCarthy C, Fiessinger JN: **Measurement of quality of life in intermittent claudication. Clinical validation of a questionnaire.** *Presse medicale (Paris, France)* 1983, **92**(28):1288-1292.
 259. Martin LL: **Validity and reliability of a quality-of-life instrument: the chronic respiratory disease questionnaire.** *Clin Nurs Res* 1994, **3**(2):146-156.
 260. Martinez FJ, Raczek AE, Seifer FD, et al: **Development and Initial Validation of a Self-Scored COPD Population Screener Questionnaire (COPD-PS).** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2008, **5**(2):85-95.
 261. McCabe MA, Granger CV: **Content validity of a pediatric functional independence measure.** *Applied Nursing Research: ANR* 1990, **3**(3):120-122.
 262. McGee MA, Johnson AL, Kay DW: **The description of activities of daily living in five centres in England and Wales.** *Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Ageing Study. Age & Ageing* 1998, **27**(5):605-613.
 263. Medinas-Amoros M, Alorda C, Renom F, et al: **Quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the predictive validity of the BODE index.** *Chron Respir Dis* 2008, **5**(1):7-11.
 264. Mendelsohn ME, Connelly DM, Overend TJ, Petrella RJ: **Validity of values for metabolic equivalents of task during submaximal anti-extremity exercise and reliability of exercise responses in frail older adults.** *Phys Ther* 2008, **88**(6):747-756.
 265. Mezzani A, Corra U, Baroffio C, Bosimini E, Giannuzzi P: **Habitual activities and peak aerobic capacity in patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic left ventricular dysfunction.** *Chest* 2000, **117**(5):1291-1299.
 266. Mielenz T, Jackson E, Currey S, DeVellis R, Callahan LF: **Psychometric properties of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Health-Related Quality of Life (CDC HRQL) items in adults with arthritis.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006, **4**:66.
 267. Mihay L, Iltzsche E, Tribby A, et al: **Balance and perceived confidence with performance of instrumental activities of daily living: a pilot study of Tai Chi inspired exercise with elderly retirement-community dwellers.** *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics* 2003, **21**(3):75-86.
 268. Mithal M, Mann WC, Granger CV: **The role of coronary heart disease (CHD) in functional limitation in community dwelling elders.** *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics* 2001, **19**(3):33-46.
 269. Mijm-de Jong N, Schouten EG, van Staveren WA, Kok FJ: **Physical exercise or micronutrient supplementation for the wellbeing of the frail elderly? A randomised controlled trial.** *Br J Sports Med* 2002, **36**(2):126-131.
 270. Mobily KE, Lemke JH, Ostiguy LJ, Woodard RJ: **Leisure repertoire in a sample of midwestern elderly: The case for exercise.** *Journal of Leisure Research* 1993, **25**(1):84-99.
 271. Moore DS, Ellis R, Allen PD, et al: **Construct validation of physical activity surveys in culturally diverse older adults: a comparison of four commonly used questionnaires.** *Res Q Exerc Sport* 2008, **79**(1):42-50.
 272. Moore R, Berlowitz D, Deneghy L, Jackson B, McDonald CF: **Comparison of pedometer and activity diary for measurement of physical activity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2009, **29**(1):57.
 273. Morgan K, Clarke D: **Customary physical activity and survival in later life: a study in Nottingham, UK.** *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1997, **51**(5):490-493.
 274. Morio B, Barra V, Ritz P, et al: **Benefit of endurance training in elderly people over a short period is reversible.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2000, **81**(4):329-336.
 275. Motohashi Y, Maeda A, Yuasa T, Higuchi S: **Reliability and validity of the questionnaire to determine the biosocial rhythms of daily living in the disabled elderly.** *J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci* 2000, **19**(6):263-269.
 276. Muhlig S, Bergmann KC, Emmertmann E, Petermann F: **"Questionnaire on Quality of Life in Asthma"-studies of the dimensionality and references for evaluation.** *Pneumologie (Stuttgart, Germany)* 1998, **52**(1):35-40.
 277. Muhlig S, Petermann F: **Hints on assessment instruments for quality assurance in rehabilitation - No. 15. Disease specific instruments for assessing quality of life in patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Hinweise auf Bewertungsinstrumente zur Qualitätssicherung in der Rehabilitation - Blatt 15. Krankheitsspezifische Erhebungsverfahren zur Lebensqualität bei Patienten mit Asthma und chronisch-obstruktiver Bronchitis* 1998, **37**(3), XXV; XXXVIII-XXV; XXXVIII.
 278. Murray M, Lefort S, Ribeiro V: **The SF-36: reliable and valid for the institutionalized elderly?** *Aging Ment Health* 1998, **2**(1):24-27.
 279. Nagamatsu T, Oida Y, Kitabatake Y, et al: **A 6-year cohort study on relationship between functional fitness and impairment of ADL in community-dwelling older persons.** *J Epidemiol* 2003, **13**(3):142-148.
 280. Nakagaichi M, Tanaka K: **Development of a 12-min treadmill walk test at a self-selected pace for the evaluation of cardiorespiratory fitness in adult men.** *Appl Human Sci* 1998, **17**(6):281-288.
 281. Nakazato K, Shimonaka Y, Narita K, Honjo Y: **Development of behavior rating scale for the elderly.** *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Geriatrics* 1991, **28**(6):790-800.
 282. Nguyen HQ, Steele B, Benditt JO: **Use of accelerometers to characterize physical activity patterns with COPD exacerbations.** *International Journal of Copd* 2006, **1**(4):455-460.
 283. Nielson WR, Jensen MP, Hill ML: **An activity pacing scale for the chronic pain coping inventory: development in a sample of patients with fibromyalgia syndrome.** *Pain* 2001, **89**(2-3):111-115.
 284. Nieves JW, Zion M, Pahor M, et al: **Evaluation of continuous summary physical performance scores (CSPPS) in an elderly cohort.** *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2005, **17**(3):193-200.
 285. Nikolaus T, Specht-Leible N, Bach M, Oster P, Schlierf G: **Social aspects in diagnosis and therapy of very elderly patients Initial experiences with a newly developed questionnaire within the scope of geriatric assessment.** *Z Gerontol* 1994, **27**(4):240-245.
 286. Ninot G, Moulec G, Desplan J, Prefaut C, Varray A: **Daily functioning of dyspnea, self-esteem and physical self in patients with moderate COPD before, during and after a first inpatient rehabilitation program.** *Disabil Rehabil* 2007, **29**(22):1671-1678.
 287. Oakley F, Sunderland T, Hill JL, Phillips SL: **The Daily Activities Questionnaire: A functional assessment for people with Alzheimer's disease.** *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics* 1991, **10**(2):67-81.
 288. Ohta T, Haga H, Osada H, et al: **Development and evaluation of a QOL questionnaire for elderly subject living in a community.** *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Public Health* 2001, **48**(4):258-267.
 289. Oida Y, Kitabatake Y, Nishijima Y, et al: **Effects of a 5-year exercise-centered health-promoting programme on mortality and ADL impairment in the elderly.** *Age Ageing* 2003, **32**(6):585-592.
 290. Okochi J, Toba K, Takahashi T, et al: **Simple screening test for risk of falls in the elderly.** *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2006, **6**(4):223-227.
 291. Okochi J, Utsunomiya S, Takahashi T: **Health measurement using the ICF: test-retest reliability study of ICF codes and qualifiers in geriatric care.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2005, **3**:46.
 292. Oliver R, Blathwayt J, Brackley C, Tamaki T: **Development of the Safety Assessment of Function and the Environment for Rehabilitation (SAFER) tool.** *Canadian journal of occupational therapy/Revue canadienne d'ergotherapie* 1993, **60**(2):78-82.
 293. Oliveri S, Carpenter IG, Demopoulos G: **Validity and reliability of the Winchester Disability Rating Scale (2): A comprehensive screening instrument for the elderly in the community.** *Gerontology* 1994, **40**(6):319-324.
 294. Orfila F, Ferrer M, Lamarca R, Tebe C, Domingo-Salvany A, Alonso J: **Gender differences in health-related quality of life among the elderly: the role of objective functional capacity and chronic conditions.** *Soc Sci Med* 2006, **63**(9):2367-2380.
 295. Orsini N, Bellocchio R, Bottai M, et al: **Profile of physical activity behaviors among Swedish women aged 56-75 years.** *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2008, **18**(1):95-101.
 296. Oschutz H, Weisser B, Finck M, Hurtmanns J, Schaller HJ: **Development of a walking stage test (PWT) for the elderly.** *Z Gerontol Geriatr* 2005, **38**(2):139-150.
 297. Paier GS: **Development and testing of an instrument to assess functional status in the elderly** UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA; 1994.
 298. Pan AM, Stiell IG, Clement CM, Acheson J, Aaron SD: **Feasibility of a structured 3-minute walk test as a clinical decision tool for patients presenting to the emergency department with acute dyspnoea.** *Emerg Med J* 2009, **26**(4):278-282.
 299. Panagiotakos DB, Polystiopi A, Polychronopoulos E: **Prevalence of type 2 diabetes and physical activity status in elderly men and women from Cyprus (The MEDIS Study).** *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2007, **19**(3):22-28.

300. Patel SA, Benzo RP, Slivka WA, Sciruba FC: **Activity monitoring and energy expenditure in COPD patients: a validation study.** *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2007, **4**(2):107-112.
301. Patel SA, Sciruba FC: **Emerging concepts in outcome assessment for COPD clinical trials.** *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2005, **26**(2):253-262.
302. Patrick JM, Bassey EJ, Irving JM, Blecher A, Fentem PH: **Objective measurements of customary physical activity in elderly men and women before and after retirement.** *Q J Exp Physiol* 1986, **71**(1):47-58.
303. Patterson MB, Mack JL: **The Cleveland Scale for Activities of Daily Living (CSADL): Its reliability and validity.** *Journal of Clinical Geropsychology* 2001, **7**(1):15-28.
304. Peloquin L, Gauthier P, Bravo G, Lacombe G, Billiard J: **Reliability and validity of the five-minute walking field test for estimating VO2 peak in elderly subjects with knee osteoarthritis.** *J Aging Phys Act* 1998, **6**(1):36-44.
305. Pfeiffer E, Johnson TM, Chiofolo RC: **Functional assessment of elderly subjects in four service settings.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1981, **29**(10):433-437.
306. Pieper B, Templin TN, Birk TJ, Kirsner RS: **Chronic venous disorders and injection drug use: impact on balance, gait, and walk speed.** *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 2008, **35**(3):301-310.
307. Pine ZM, Gurland B, Chren MM: **Report of having slowed down: evidence for the validity of a new way to inquire about mild disability in elders.** *Journals of Gerontology Series A-Biological Sciences & Medical Sciences* 2000, **55**(7):M378-M383.
308. Pinto-Plata VM, Celli-Cruz RA, Vassaux C, et al: **Differences in cardiopulmonary exercise test results by American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society-Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage categories and gender.** *Chest* 2007, **132**(4):1204-1211.
309. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S: **The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991, **39**(2):142-148.
310. Pollak N, Rheault W, Stoecker JL: **Reliability and validity of the FIM for persons aged years and above from a multilevel continuing care retirement community.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1996, **77**(10):1056-1061.
311. Pols MA, Peeters PH, Kemper HC, Collette HJ: **Repeatability and relative validity of two physical activity questionnaires in elderly women.** *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1996, **28**(8):1020-1025.
312. Pols MA, Peeters PH, Ocke MC, et al: **Relative validity and repeatability of a new questionnaire on physical activity.** *Prev Med* 1997, **26**(1):37-43.
313. Pomeroy V: **Development of an ADL oriented assessment-of-mobility scale suitable for use with elderly people with dementia.** *Physiotherapy* 1990, **76**(8):446-448.
314. Powell LE, Myers AM: **The Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale.** *The journals of gerontology.Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences* 1995, **50**(1):28-34.
315. Pransky G, Feuerstein M, Himmelstein J, Katz JN, Vickers-Lahti M, Pransky G, Feuerstein M, Himmelstein J, Katz JN, Vickers-Lahti M: **Measuring functional outcomes in work-related upper extremity disorders Development and validation of the Upper Extremity Function Scale.** *J Occup Environ Med* 1997, **39**(12):1195-1202.
316. Provenier F, Jordaens L: **Evaluation of six minute walking test in patients with single chamber rate responsive pacemakers.** *Br Heart J* 1994, **72**(2):192-196.
317. Puhan M: **Severity of COPD-a broader prognostic assessment is necessary.** *Praxis* 2007, **96**(48):1901-1905.
318. Quirk FH, Jones PW: **Patients' perception of distress due to symptoms and effects of asthma on daily living and an investigation of possible influential factors.** *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1990, **79**(1):17-21.
319. Reardon JZ, Lareau SC, ZuWallack R: **Functional status and quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.[see comment].** *Am J Med* 2006, **119**(10):32-37.
320. Recchia G, de Carli G, Parise G, Zerbin O: **Quality of life: A new approach to the assessment of the pharmacological treatment in the elderly patient.** *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 1991, **6**, Suppl 2: 33-37.
321. Reid DWZM: **A survey of the reinforcements and activities elderly citizens feel are important for their general happiness.** *Essence* 1977, **2**:5-24.
322. Rejeski WJ, Brawley LR, Ambrosius WT, et al: **Older adults with chronic disease: benefits of group-mediated counseling in the promotion of physically active lifestyles.** *Health Psychol* 2003, **22**(4):414-423.
323. Rejeski WJ, Foley KO, Woodard CM, Zaccaro DJ, Berry MJ: **Evaluating and understanding performance testing in COPD patients.** *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2000, **20**(2):79-88.
324. Rejeski WJ, Ip EH, Marsh AP, Farmer DF: **Measuring disability in older adults: the International Classification System of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) framework.** *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2008, **8**(1):48-54.
325. Resnick B, Galik E: **The reliability and validity of the physical activity survey in long-term care.** *J Aging Phys Act* 2007, **15**(4):439-458.
326. Reuben DB, Siu AL: **An objective measure of physical function of elderly outpatients.** *The Physical Performance Test. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1990, **38**(10):1105-1112.
327. Ricci NA, Kubota MT, Cordeiro RC: **Agreement between observations on the functional capacity of home care elderly patients.** *Rev Saude Publica* 2005, **39**(4):655-662.
328. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, et al: **A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people.** *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2005, **173**(5):489-495.
329. Romano JM, Turner JA, Jensen MP: **The Chronic Illness Problem Inventory as a measure of dysfunction in chronic pain patients.** *Pain* 1992, **49**(1):71-75.
330. Rozzini R, Frisoni GB, Ferrucci L, Barbisoni P, Bertozzi B, Trabucchi M: **The effect of chronic diseases on physical function Comparison between activities of daily living scales and the Physical Performance Test.** *Age Ageing* 1997, **26**(4):281-287.
331. Ruan CM, Haig AJ, Geisser ME, Yamakawa K, Buchholz RL: **Functional capacity evaluations in persons with spinal disorders: predicting poor outcomes on the Functional Assessment Screening Test (FAST).** *J Occup Rehabil* 2001, **11**(2):119-132.
332. Ryan CG, Grant PM, Gray H, Newton M, Granat MH: **Measuring postural physical activity in people with chronic low back pain.** *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2008, **21**(1):43-50.
333. Sallis JF, Haskell WL, Wood PD, et al: **Physical activity assessment methodology in the Five-City Project.** *Am J Epidemiol* 1985, **121**(1):91-106.
334. Sayers SP, Guralnik JM, Newman AB, Brach JS, Fielding RA: **Concordance and discordance between two measures of lower extremity function: 400 meter self-paced walk and SPPB.** *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2006, **18**(2):100-106.
335. Schag CC, Heinrich RL, Ganz PA: **Karnofsky performance status revisited: reliability, validity, and guidelines.** *Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 1984, **2**(3):187-193.
336. Schandry R: **Die Entwicklung des Fragebogens für Asthmapatienten (FAP).** In *Lebensqualität und Asthma*. Edited by: Petermann F, Bergmann KC. (Hrsg) München Quintessenz; 1994:55-66.
337. Schenkman M, Cutson TM, Kuchibhatla M, Scott BI, Cress ME: **Application of the Continuous Scale Physical Functional Performance Test to people with Parkinson disease.** *Neurology Report* 2002, **26**(3):130-138.
338. Schuit AJ, Feskens EJM, Launer LJ, Kromhout D: **Physical activity and cognitive decline, the role of the apolipoprotein e4 allele.** *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2001, **33**(5):772-777.
339. Schuit AJ, Schouten EG, Westertep KR, Saris WH: **Validity of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): according to energy expenditure assessed by the doubly labeled water method.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1997, **50**(5):541-546.
340. Schuntermann MF: **[The Duke Health Profile (DUKE)].** *Rehabilitation* 1997, **36**(1):1-14.
341. Schwab RS, E AC: **Projection Technique for Evaluating Surgery in Parkinson's Disease.**
342. Schwartz CE, Vollmer T, Lee H: **Reliability and validity of two self-report measures of impairment and disability for MS North American Research Consortium on Multiple Sclerosis Outcomes Study Group.** *Neurology* 1999, **52**(1):63-70.
343. Sclan SG, Reisberg B: **Functional assessment staging (FAST) in Alzheimer's disease: reliability, validity, and ordinality.** *Int Psychogeriatr* 1992, **4**(Suppl 1):55-69.
344. Sheikh K, Smith DS, Meade TW, Goldenberg E, Brennan PJ, Kinsella G: **Repeatability and validity of a modified activities of daily living (ADL) index in studies of chronic disability.** *Int Rehabil Med* 1979, **1**(2):51-58.
345. Shin Y, Jang H, Pender NJ: **Psychometric evaluation of the Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale among Korean adults with chronic diseases.** *Res Nurs Health* 2001, **24**(1):68-76.

346. Shin Y, Pender NJ, Yun S: Using methodological triangulation for cultural verification of commitment to a plan for exercise scale among Korean adults with chronic diseases. *Res Nurs Health* 2003, **26**(4):312-321.
347. Sinderby C, Spahija J, Beck J, et al: Diaphragm activation during exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **163**(7):1637-1641.
348. Singh PN, Fraser GE, Knutsen SF, Lindsted KD, Bennett HW: Validity of a physical activity questionnaire among African-American Seventh-day Adventists. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2001, **33**(3):468-475.
349. Skumlien S, Hagelund T, Bjortuft O, Ryg MS: A field test of functional status as performance of activities of daily living in COPD patients. *Respir Med* 2006, **100**(2):316-323.
350. Stallberg B, Nokela M, Ehrs PO, Hjerdal P, Jonsson EW: Validation of the clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ) in primary care. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2009, **7**:26.
351. Stavem K, Jodalen H: Reliability and validity of the COOP/WONCA health status measure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Qual Life Res* 2002.
352. Steele BG, Holt L, Belza B, Ferris S, Lakshminarayan S, Buchner DM: Quantitating physical activity in COPD using a triaxial accelerometer. *Chest* 2000, **117**(5):1359-1367.
353. Suurmeijer TP, Doeglas DM, Moum T, et al: The Groningen Activity Restriction Scale for measuring disability: its utility in international comparisons. *Am J Public Health* 1994.
354. Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Harwood RH, Cooper C, Aihie Sayer A: The SF-36: a simple, effective measure of mobility-disability for epidemiological studies. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2009, **13**(1):57-62.
355. Tager IB, Hollenberg M, Satariano WA: Association between self-reported leisure-time physical activity and measures of cardiorespiratory fitness in an elderly population. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998, **147**(10):921-931.
356. Taylor HL, Jacobs DR Jr, Schucker B, Knudsen J, Leon AS, Debacker G: A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. *J Chronic Dis* 1978, **31**(12):741-755.
357. Tsang AH: Effectiveness of three strengths of education and exercise on self-efficacy for walking and self-efficacy for managing dyspnea in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease San Francisco: University of California; 2000.
358. Vallerand AH: Development and testing of the inventory of functional status-chronic pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 1998, **15**(2):125-133.
359. van Helvoort HA, Heijdra YF, de Boer RC, Swinkels A, Thijs HM, Dekhuijzen PN: Six-minute walking-induced systemic inflammation and oxidative stress in muscle-wasted COPD patients. *Chest* 2007, **131**(2):439-445.
360. Van't-Hul A, Gosselink R, Kwakkel G: Constant-load cycle endurance performance: test-retest reliability and validity in patients with COPD. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2003, **23**(2):143-150.
361. Vellozo CA, Peterson EW: Developing meaningful Fear of Falling Measures for community dwelling elderly. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2001, **80**(9):662-673.
362. Verbunt JA, Westertep KR, van der Heijden GJ, Seelen HA, Vlaeyen JW, Knottnerus JA: Physical activity in daily life in patients with chronic low back pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001, **82**(6):726-730.
363. Volpato S, Cavalieri M, Guerra G, et al: Performance-based functional assessment in older hospitalized patients: feasibility and clinical correlates. *Journals of Gerontology Series A-Biological Sciences & Medical Sciences* 2008, **63**(12):1393-1398.
364. Voorrips LE, Lemmink KA, van Heuvelen MJ, Bult P, van Staveren WA: The physical condition of elderly women differing in habitual physical activity. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1993, **25**(10):1152-1157.
365. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD: The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical care* 1992, **30**(6):473-483.
366. Washburn RA, Ficker JL: Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): the relationship with activity measured by a portable accelerometer. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 1999, **39**(4):336-340.
367. Webb SM, Prieto L, Badia X, et al: Acromegaly Quality of Life Questionnaire (ACROQOL) a new health-related quality of life questionnaire for patients with acromegaly: development and psychometric properties. *Clin Endocrinol* 2002, **57**(2):251-258.
368. Webster K, Cella D, Yost K: The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) Measurement System: properties, applications, and interpretation. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003, **1**:79.
369. Wellard S: Validation of physical activity measurement for people on dialysis treatment. *EDTNA/ERCA Journal of Renal Care* 2003, **29**(3):140-142.
370. Whitehurst M, Brown LE, Eidelson SG, D'Angelo A: Functional mobility performance in an elderly population with lumbar spinal stenosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001, **82**(4):464-467.
371. Winograd CH, Lemsky CM, Nevitt MC, et al: Development of a physical performance and mobility examination. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1994, **42**(7):743-749.
372. Yang P, Chen C: Exercise stage and processes of change in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Nurs Res* 2005, **13**(2):97-105.
373. Yasuko F: Development, reliability and validity of an ADL gap self-efficacy scale for elderly persons requiring home based nursing care [Japanese]. *Journal of Japan Academy of Nursing Science* 2002, **22**(1):23-32.
374. Yates JW, Chalmer B, McKegney FP: Evaluation of patients with advanced cancer using the Karnofsky performance status. *Cancer* 1980, **45**(8):2220-2224.
375. Zancocci M, Ponzetto M, Francisetti F, et al: The dependence medical index (DMI): validation and comparison with the activity daily living and the instrumental activity daily living. *Minerva Med* 2004, **95**(2):143-151.
376. Zhan S, Cerny FJ, Gibbons WJ, Mador MJ, Wu YW: Development of an unsupported arm exercise test in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2006, **26**(3):180-187.
377. Zimnavoda T, Weinblatt N, Katz N: Validity of the Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills (KELS) with Israeli elderly individuals living in the community. *Occup Ther Int* 2002, **9**(4):312-325.

doi:10.1186/1477-7525-10-28

Cite this article as: Williams et al.: Patient-reported physical activity questionnaires: A systematic review of content and format. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2012 **10**:28.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

